Martin Ziegler Carsten Rösnick

## **Complexity Theory**

WS 2011/2012, Exercise Sheet #12

## EXERCISE 31:

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $R \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$ . " $\oplus : \{0,1\}^2 \to \{0,1\}$ " denotes *exclusive* or, that is binary addition modulo 2. For  $\vec{x}, \vec{u} \in \{0,1\}^n$  write  $\vec{x} \oplus \vec{u} := (x_1 \oplus u_1, \dots, x_n \oplus u_n)$  and  $X \oplus \vec{u} := \{\vec{x} \oplus \vec{u} : \vec{x} \in X\}$ . For a proposition  $A(\vec{u})$  with parameter  $\vec{u}$ ,  $\Pr_{\vec{u}}[A(\vec{u})]$  denotes the probability that A becomes true for  $\vec{u} \in \{0,1\}^n$  chosen uniformly componentwise independently at random.

- a) Let  $\vec{y} \in \{0,1\}^n$ . Prove:  $\vec{y} \in R \oplus \vec{u} \Leftrightarrow \vec{u} \oplus \vec{y} \in R \Leftrightarrow \vec{u} \in R \oplus \vec{y}$ .
- b)  $\operatorname{Pr}_{\vec{u}}[\vec{y} \in R \oplus \vec{u}] = \operatorname{Pr}_{\vec{u}}[\vec{u} \in R] \text{ and } \operatorname{Pr}_{\vec{u},\vec{v}}[\vec{y} \in (R \oplus \vec{u}) \cap (R \oplus \vec{v})] = \operatorname{Pr}_{\vec{u}}[\vec{y} \in R \oplus \vec{u}] \cdot \operatorname{Pr}_{\vec{v}}[\vec{y} \in R \oplus \vec{v}].$
- c) Let  $1 \le n \le p < 2^n$  and  $R \subseteq \{0,1\}^p$  with  $\operatorname{Card}(R) \le 2^{-n} \cdot 2^p$ . Show that no choice of  $\vec{t}_1, \dots, \vec{t}_p \in \{0,1\}^p$  satisfies  $\{0,1\}^p = \bigcup_{i=1}^p (R \oplus \vec{t}_1)$ .

## **EXERCISE 32:**

a) Show that the following problem lies in  $\mathcal{P}^{C_{LIQUE}}$ , that is, can be decided in polynomial time by a DTM permitted oracle queries to CLIQUE:

Given a graph, does the maximal clique it contains have odd size?

b) Show that the following problem MINCIRCUIT belongs to  $coNP^{SAT}$ :

Given a circuit  $C(X_1, ..., X_n)$ , there is no strictly smaller one computing the same Boolean function  $\{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

Hint: Recall Exercise 9j). How to encode the satisfiability of a circuit into a (not too long) formula?

c) Fix  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that the following problem lies in  $\mathcal{P}^{SAT}$ . Does it belong to  $\mathcal{NP}$ ? to co $\mathcal{NP}$ ?

Given a Boolean function  $\varphi$ , can a circuit with at most  $\ell$  gates compute  $\varphi$ ?

- d) Let  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \supseteq \mathcal{P}$  denote classes of languages closed under polynomial-time reduction and suppose *C* is  $\mathcal{C}$ -complete. Then  $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .
- e) Prove  $\mathbb{NP} \cup \operatorname{co}\mathbb{NP} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{NP}}$ .
- f) If  $\mathbb{NP} \cup \operatorname{co}\mathbb{NP} = \mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{NP}}$ , then  $\mathbb{NP} = \operatorname{co}\mathbb{NP}$ . Hint: Recall Exercise 15) and consider  $L := (\{0\} \times A) \cup (\{1\} \times A^{\complement})$  with  $\mathbb{NP}$ -complete  $A \notin \operatorname{co}\mathbb{NP}$ .

## **EXERCISE 33:**

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , class  $\Sigma_k^{\mathcal{P}}$  is defined to consist of all problems of the form

$$\{ \vec{x} \in \{0,1\}^n : n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \exists \vec{y}_1 \in \{0,1\}^{\leq p(n)} \ \forall \vec{y}_2 \in \{0,1\}^{\leq p(n)} \ \exists \vec{y}_3 \in \{0,1\}^{\leq p(n)} \\ \cdots Q_k \vec{y}_k \in \{0,1\}^{\leq p(n)} : \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_k \rangle \in R \}$$

with  $R \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}[N]$ . Here  $Q_k$  means  $\forall \forall'$  in case k is even,  $Q_k = \exists' \exists'$  if odd.

- a) Prove:  $\Sigma_1^{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{NP}$  and  $\Sigma_k^{\mathcal{P}} \subseteq \mathcal{NP}^{\mathcal{NP}}$  (tower of height *k*) and  $\Sigma_k^{\mathcal{P}} \subseteq \mathsf{PSPACE}$ .
- b) For  $A, B \in \Sigma_k^{\mathcal{P}}$ , it holds  $A \cap B, A \cup B \in \Sigma_k^{\mathcal{P}}$ .
- c) For  $L \in \Sigma_k^{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}[N]$ , it holds  $\left\{ \vec{x} : \exists \vec{y} \in \{0,1\}^{\leq q(|\vec{x}|)} : \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle \in L \right\} \in \Sigma_k^{\mathcal{P}}$ .
- d) For  $L \in \Sigma_k^{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}[N]$ , it holds  $\{\vec{x} : \forall y \leq q(|\vec{x}|) : \langle \vec{x}, y \rangle \in L\} \in \Sigma_k^{\mathcal{P}}$ .
- e) What about  $\{\vec{x}: \forall \vec{y} \in \{0,1\}^{\leq q(|\vec{x}|)} : \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle \in L\}$ ?
- f) How will (would) the polynomial hierarchy look like in case  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP} = co\mathcal{NP}$ ? Draw and justify. How about the case  $\Delta_2^{\mathcal{P}} \neq \Sigma_2^{\mathcal{P}} = \Pi_2^{\mathcal{P}}$ ?