

Complexity Theory

WS 2011/2012, Exercise Sheet #3

EXERCISE 9:

Let G denote a (directed or undirected) graph. Reachability is the question of whether, given G and a pair (u, v) of nodes, there exists a path from u to v in G .

- a) Describe an algorithm deciding reachability in polynomial time.

Now consider 2SAT: the question of whether a Boolean term in two-conjunctive form (2CNF, i.e. with two literals per clause) admits a satisfying assignment.

- b) Argue that the question of whether a given (reasonable binary encoding of a) Boolean term is in conjunctive form can be decided in polynomial time.
- c) A Boolean term $t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in 2CNF induces a (so-called *implication*) graph G_t with literals $x_1, \dots, x_n, \neg x_1, \dots, \neg x_n$ as vertices and directed edges $(\neg u, v)$ and $(\neg v, u)$ between literals u, v for every clause $(u \vee v)$ of t . Prove: If t has a satisfying assignment and x is a variable of t , then G_t admits no path from x to $\neg x$ nor from $\neg x$ to x .
- d) Suppose conversely for each variable x in t that G_t admits no path from x to $\neg x$ nor from $\neg x$ to x . Conclude that t has a satisfying assignment.
- e) Prove that 2SAT is decidable in polynomial time.
- f) A term $t = C_1 \vee C_2 \vee \dots \vee C_m$ with each C_i a conjunction of literals is called in *disjunctive* form. Show that satisfiability of terms in disjunctive form can be decided in polynomial time.
- g) Prove: i) There are precisely 2^{2^n} distinct n -variate Boolean functions $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$; and each of them can be expressed as a term in ii) disjunctive and iii) in conjunctive form.

Hint: Verify
$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigvee_{\vec{y}: f(\vec{y})=1} \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \begin{cases} x_i & : y_i = 1 \\ \neg x_i & : y_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

- h) Specify a family (f_n) of n -variate Boolean functions expressible as terms in disjunctive form of polynomial size but not as terms in conjunctive form of polynomial size (without proof).
- j) Describe an algorithm transforming a given Boolean term t within polynomial time into a term s in conjunctive normal form such that it holds: t is satisfiable iff s is.
- k) Consider the following problem called **Equivalence**:

Given two n -variate Boolean terms s and t , does it hold $\forall \vec{a} \in \{0, 1\}^n : s(\vec{a}) = t(\vec{a})$?

Prove this problem as computationally as hard as *unsatisfiability*, the complement of SAT.

- ℓ) Prove or refute: i) $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A \preceq_p B$, ii) $A \preceq_p B \Rightarrow A \subseteq B$.