

# Linear Algebra II

## Exercise Sheet no. 14



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

Prof. Dr. Otto  
Dr. Le Roux  
Dr. Linshaw

Summer term 2011  
July 30, 2011

### Exercise 1 (Bijection between conic sections)

Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be the standard cone in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (defined by the equation  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = x_3^2$ ), let  $\mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{A}_2$  be the planes defined by the equations  $x_3 = 1$  and  $x_1 = \frac{1}{3}x_3 + \frac{2}{3}$ , respectively and let  $L$  be the line that goes through the vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(a) Let  $\mathbf{n} := \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then the map

$$\varphi : \mathbb{A}_1 \setminus L \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_2$$

$$\mathbf{v} \mapsto -\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \rangle} \mathbf{v}$$

describes the central projection through the origin from  $\mathbb{A}_1 \setminus L$  into  $\mathbb{A}_2$ . Make a sketch to verify this. (You only need to draw the  $(x_1, x_3)$ -plane.) Determine the image of  $\varphi$ .

- (b) Sketch the conic sections that you get from  $\mathbb{A}_i$  and  $\mathbb{X}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . (You only need to draw the  $(x_1, x_3)$ -plane.)
- (c) Compute a parametric description of  $\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{X}$  and  $\mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{X}$ .
- (d) How can you extend  $\varphi$  to a bijection from the one conic section  $(\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{X})$  onto a completion of the other?

### Solution:

a) We can write the equation for  $\mathbb{A}_2$  as

$$\mathbb{A}_2 = \left\{ \mathbf{v} : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n} \rangle = -\frac{2}{3} \right\}.$$

First we show that  $\varphi$  is injective. Let  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{A}_1 \setminus L$  be vectors with  $\varphi(\mathbf{v}) = \varphi(\mathbf{w})$ . Then we get

$$-\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \rangle} \mathbf{v} = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{w} \rangle} \mathbf{w},$$

which implies

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{w} \rangle} \mathbf{w}.$$

Note that  $\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$  is non-zero on  $\mathbb{A}_1 \setminus L$ . As  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  lie in  $\mathbb{A}_1$  they have to be equal.

b)

- c) One parametric description for  $\mathbb{X}$  is  $\mathbb{X} = \{(r \sin \alpha, r \cos \alpha, r) : r, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . For the plane  $\mathbb{A}_1$  we have  $\mathbb{A}_1 = \{(x, y, 1) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$  so that  $\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{X}$  has the parametric description  $\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{X} = \{(\sin \alpha, \cos \alpha, 1) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . To compute  $\mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{X}$  we use the map  $\varphi$  on the set  $\{(\sin \alpha, \cos \alpha, 1) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \sin \alpha \neq \frac{1}{3}\}$ :

$$\varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \sin \alpha \\ \cos \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sin \alpha \\ \cos \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \alpha \\ \cos \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{3 \sin \alpha - 1} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \alpha \\ \cos \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that  $\mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{X}$  has the parametric description:

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{2 \sin \alpha}{3 \sin \alpha - 1}, \frac{2 \cos \alpha}{3 \sin \alpha - 1}, \frac{2}{3 \sin \alpha - 1} \right) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \sin \alpha \neq \frac{1}{3} \right\}.$$

- d)  $\varphi$  maps each  $\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbb{A}_1 \setminus L) \cap \mathbb{X}$  onto the other conic section, because the line through  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\varphi(\mathbf{v})$  lies on  $\mathbb{X}$ . Now we have still two points left:  $(\frac{1}{3}, \pm \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2}, 1)$ . These we map to those points of the line at infinity that are represented by the asymptotics of the hyperbola.

### Exercise 2 (Minkowski space)

Consider the “Minkowski metric” on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  induced by the symmetric bilinear form  $\sigma$  with diagonal entries  $(1, 1, 1, -1)$  w.r.t. the standard basis.

The quadric  $Q = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^4 : \sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = 0\}$  is called the *null set* of  $\sigma$ .

- Show that  $\sigma$  is non-degenerate but has a non-trivial null set; determine the null set and describe it geometrically.
- Give examples of other bases of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  w.r.t. which  $\sigma$  is represented by the matrix with diagonal entries  $(1, 1, 1, -1)$ , but which are not orthonormal w.r.t. the standard scalar product.
- Give an example of a subspace  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$  s.t.  $\mathbb{R}^4 \neq U \oplus U^\perp$  where

$$U^\perp := \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^4 : \sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in U\}$$

- Which are the signatures of the quadratic forms induced by  $\sigma$  on the 3-dimensional subspaces  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$ ? Try to describe in each case the relation between the subspace  $U$  and the null set.

**Solution:**

a) For  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix} \neq \mathbf{0}$  we get  $\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ -v_4 \end{pmatrix}\right) = v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2 + v_4^2 \neq 0$ .

On the other hand, we have  $\sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$  for each vector  $\mathbf{v}$  in the subspace spanned by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . So the null set is

not empty. It is a cone given by the equation  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = x_4^2$ . One gets this cone by taking one of the lines  $\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and rotating this set about the  $x_4$ -axis.

b) We choose the basis  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{5}{3} \\ -\frac{4}{3} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{4}{3} \\ \frac{5}{3} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\sigma(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j) = \sigma(\mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 1 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Furthermore we have

$$\sigma(\mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4) = \frac{5}{3} \left( -\frac{4}{3} \right) + \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{5}{3} = 0 = \sigma(\mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_3).$$

and

$$\sigma(\mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_3) = \frac{25}{9} - \frac{16}{9} = 1, \quad \sigma(\mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_4) = \frac{16}{9} - \frac{25}{9} = -1.$$

Thus  $\sigma$  is represented by the matrix with diagonal entries  $(1, 1, 1, -1)$  w.r.t this basis and the standard scalar product of  $\mathbf{v}_3$  and  $\mathbf{v}_4$  is

$$\langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4 \rangle = \frac{5}{3} \left( -\frac{4}{3} \right) + \left( -\frac{4}{3} \right) \frac{5}{3} \neq 0.$$

c) Let  $U$  be the subspace spanned by  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4$ .  $U^\perp = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^4 : \sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{w} \in U\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix}$  be an

element in  $U^\perp$ . As  $\sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_1) = 0$  and  $\sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_2) = 0$  we have  $v_1 = 0$  and  $v_2 = 0$ . Furthermore we have to show that  $\sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4) = \sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_3) + \sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_4) = 0$ . This implies  $v_3 = v_4$ . Thus we have  $U^\perp = \{\lambda(\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq U$  and  $U + U^\perp \neq \mathbb{R}^4$ .

d) There are three possibilities for signatures of  $\sigma|_U$ . We characterise them by their position w.r.t. the cone defined by the null set:

- 1)  $(+, +, +)$  :  $\sigma|_U$  is non-degenerate and positive definite;  $U$  intersects the null cone only in  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ , e.g.  $U = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)$ ;
- 2)  $(+, +, -)$  :  $\sigma|_U$  is non-degenerate but not positive definite;  $U$  intersects the null cone in a two dimensional cone, e.g.  $U = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_4)$ ;
- 3)  $(+, +, 0)$  :  $\sigma|_U$  is degenerate and positive definite on a two dimensional subspace of  $U$ ,  $U$  is spanned by three vectors, two of them lie in the exterior of the cone and one lies on the cone; e.g.  $U = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4)$ ;

Why are there no other signatures? Let  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  with  $\sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$ . W.l.o.g. (after applying an  $\sigma$ -isometry according to (b)) we have  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_4$ . We look at an  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{v}^\perp$ :  $\mathbf{w} = \sum \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i$ . Then  $\sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = 0$  implies  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_4$ . So for  $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w})$  we get:  $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) = \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 \geq 0$ . This is only equal to 0, if  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  are equal to 0. This means that  $\mathbf{w}$  is a scalar multiple of  $\mathbf{v}$ , and they are not linearly independent. This implies that besides an 0 the signature can have only positive entries. We still have the possibility of more than one negative entry. Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a vector with  $\sigma(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = -1$ . As before we can choose  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_4$ . For  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{v}^\perp \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  we have  $\mathbf{w} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \lambda_3 \mathbf{e}_3$ . Therefore we get  $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 > 0$ . This implies that besides a negative entry only positive entries can occur in our signature.

### Exercise 3 (A rotated ellipse)

Let  $X$  be the ellipse in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  obtained by rotating the standard ellipse  $\frac{x^2}{4} + y^2 = 1$  through the angle  $-\frac{\pi}{6}$  and translating it so that its center is at the point  $(1, -1)$ . Find an equation for  $X$ .

#### Solution:

Rotating the ellipse through the angle  $-\frac{\pi}{6}$  corresponds to rotating the coordinate axes by  $\frac{\pi}{6}$ . The corresponding rotation matrix is  $\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/2 & \sqrt{3}/2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore the change of variables  $u = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y$ ,  $v = -\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}y$  has the desired effect. We find  $x = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v$  and  $y = \frac{1}{2}u + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}v$ . Substituting these into the equation  $\frac{x^2}{4} + y^2 = 1$  yields  $\frac{7}{16}u^2 + \frac{13}{16}v^2 + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8}uv = 1$ . Finally, translating the ellipse so that its center is at  $(1, 1)$  yields  $\frac{7}{16}(u-1)^2 + \frac{13}{16}(v+1)^2 + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8}(u-1)(v+1) = 1$ . Multiplying this out yields

$$\frac{7}{16}u^2 + \frac{13}{16}v^2 + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8}uv + \frac{3\sqrt{3}-7}{8}u + \frac{-3\sqrt{3}+13}{8}v = \frac{3\sqrt{3}-2}{8}.$$

As in the lecture notes, this equation can be rewritten more succinctly in the form  $\mathbf{u}^t \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{b}^t \mathbf{u} + c = 0$ , where  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 7/16 & 3\sqrt{3}/16 \\ 3\sqrt{3}/16 & 13/16 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3\sqrt{3}-7}{8} \\ \frac{-3\sqrt{3}+13}{8} \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $c = -\frac{3\sqrt{3}-2}{8}$ .

**Exercise 4** (Projection onto a plane)

Let  $A$  be the affine plane in the euclidean space  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  given by  $x + 2y + 2z = 9$ .

- Find an orthonormal basis for the 2-dimensional linear subspace  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  which is parallel to  $A$ .
- Extend this basis to an orthonormal basis  $B$  for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- Write down the matrix which represents the orthogonal projection  $\varphi$  onto  $U$  in terms of the standard basis  $E = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- Let  $P$  be the point  $(1, 2, -1)$ . Find the shortest distance from  $P$  to  $A$ .

**Solution:**

a)  $U$  is precisely the subspace which is perpendicular to the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . To obtain an orthonormal basis for  $U$ , we

apply the Gram-Schmidt process to the vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1$  and  $\mathbf{e}_2$ , obtaining  $\mathbf{u}_1 = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

b) We rescale the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  so that it has length 1, obtaining  $\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . By construction,  $B = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the desired properties.

c) The projection map  $\varphi$  has matrix  $[\varphi]_B^B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  with respect to  $B$ . Since  $[\text{id}]_E^B$  is the orthogonal matrix whose columns are  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$ , we see that

$$[\varphi]_E^E = [\text{id}]_E^B [\varphi]_B^B [\text{id}]_B^E = \begin{pmatrix} 8/9 & -2/9 & -2/9 \\ -2/9 & 5/9 & -4/9 \\ -2/9 & -4/9 & 5/9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

d) The subspace  $U$  is just the plane passing through the origin given by  $x + 2y + 2z = 0$ . The point  $Q = (9, 0, 0)$  clearly lies on  $A$ , so  $A$  is just the affine plane obtained by translating  $U$  by the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . In other words,  $(x, y, z)$  lies on  $A$  if and only if  $(x - 9, y, z)$  lies on  $U$ . The distance from  $P = (1, 2, -1)$  to  $A$  is therefore the same as the distance from  $P' = (-8, 2, -1)$  to  $U$ . This is just the length of the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} -8 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} - [\varphi]_E^E \begin{pmatrix} -8 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2/3 \\ -4/3 \\ -4/3 \end{pmatrix}$ , which is 2.