

Linear Algebra II

Exercise Sheet no. 11



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Prof. Dr. Otto
Dr. Le Roux
Dr. Linshaw

Summer term 2011
June 20, 2011

Exercise 1 (Warm-up)

(Exercise 3.1.1 in the notes, see also T7.2.) Show that the relation \approx on $\mathbb{R}^{(n,n)}$ defined as $A \approx A'$ iff $A' = C^t A C$ for some $C \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is an equivalence relation. What are sufficient criteria for $A \not\approx A'$?

Solution:

- Reflexivity: $A \approx A$ since $A = E^t A E$ and $E \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$.
- Symmetry: if $A \approx B$, then $B = C^t A C$ for some $C \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and $(C^{-1})^t B C^{-1} = (C^{-1})^t C^t A C C^{-1} = A$.
- Transitivity: Assume $A \approx B$ and $B \approx C$. So $B = F^t A F$ for some $F \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $C = G^t B G$ for some $G \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Then $C = (FG)^t A FG$, and $FG \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, that is, $A \approx C$.

For instance, if two matrices A and A' have different ranks, $A \not\approx A'$. Another example, if A is symmetric and A' is not, $A \not\approx A'$.

Exercise 2 (Normal matrices)

Recall that a matrix A is called normal if $AA^+ = A^+A$. We have seen (cf Exercise T11.1) that unitary, hermitian, and skew-hermitian matrices are normal. (Similarly in the real case, orthogonal, symmetric, skew-symmetric matrices are normal.) In this exercise we will see that there are normal matrices that do not belong to any of these classes.

- Prove that every real 2×2 normal matrix is either symmetric or a scalar multiple of an orthogonal matrix.
- Find a sufficient (and also necessary) condition for a complex 2×2 matrix to be normal. Give an example of such a matrix which is neither hermitian, skew-hermitian, nor a scalar multiple of a unitary matrix.

- Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Show that A is normal, but is neither symmetric, skew-symmetric, nor a scalar multiple of an orthogonal matrix.

Solution:

- Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. So $AA^t = A^t A$ iff $b^2 = c^2$ and $(a-d)(c-b) = 0$. If $c = -b$ then $a = d$.
- Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. So $AA^+ = A^+A$ iff $b\bar{b} = c\bar{c}$ and $c(\bar{a} - \bar{d}) = \bar{b}(a - d)$. In the case where $a \neq d$, let $b = re^{i\beta}$ and $c = re^{i\gamma}$, so $AA^+ = A^+A$ iff $a - d = \rho e^{i\frac{\beta+\gamma}{2}}$ for some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. So the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2+i & i \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is normal, but neither hermitian, skew-hermitian, nor a scalar multiple of a unitary matrix. (Why not?)
- To see that A is not a scalar multiple of any orthogonal matrix, check that at least two of its column (or row) vectors are not orthogonal.

Exercise 3 (Canonical form of an orthogonal map)

Consider the endomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ represented in the standard basis by the following orthogonal matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{(3,3)}$:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & 1/2 & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Regard A as a complex matrix via the inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{(3,3)} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{(3,3)}$, and find its characteristic polynomial over \mathbb{C} .
- (b) Find a basis of complex eigenvectors $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3)$ of A .
- (c) Use this information to find the invariant subspaces of φ regarded again as an endomorphism of \mathbb{R}^3 . Find an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 such that in this basis, φ is given by a rotation followed by a reflection.

Solution:

a) Over \mathbb{C} , we have $p_A = (X + i)(X - i)(X + 1)$.

b) The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i/\sqrt{2} \\ i/\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -i/\sqrt{2} \\ -i/\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are eigenvectors of A in \mathbb{C}^3 , with eigenvalues $i, -i, -1$, respectively.

c) Note that \mathbf{v}_3 actually lies in \mathbb{R}^3 , and the vector space $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$ spanned by \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 is invariant under A . We seek a new basis $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$ for V consisting of vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 , such that V is the complexification of the vector space $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ spanned by \mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{u}_2 . In fact, we have already seen in Exercise (T5.3) how to find these vectors. Let $\mathbf{u}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2)$, $\mathbf{u}_2 = \frac{1}{2i}(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2)$. As shown in that exercise, the vectors \mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{u}_2 have the desired properties. The invariant subspaces of φ regarded as an endomorphism of \mathbb{R}^3 are therefore U and the one-dimensional space spanned by \mathbf{v}_3 .

Letting $\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3$, we see that with respect to the basis $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3)$ for \mathbb{R}^3 , φ is given by rotation through the angle π in the plane spanned by $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$, followed by reflection in this plane. In particular, letting S be the (orthogonal) matrix whose columns are $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3$, we have

$$S^{-1}AS = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Exercise 4 (Dual maps)

Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^V)$ and $(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^W)$ be finite-dimensional euclidean spaces. Recall from Exercise T8.4 that the scalar product of V induces a canonical (i.e., basis-independent) isomorphism $\rho^V : V \rightarrow V^*$, where $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$ is the dual space of V .

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^V : V &\rightarrow V^* \\ \mathbf{v} &\mapsto \langle \mathbf{v}, \cdot \rangle^V \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{v}, \cdot \rangle^V : V &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \mathbf{u} &\mapsto \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle^V \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\rho^W : W \rightarrow W^*$ is defined similarly.

- (a) Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ be a linear map. We define the dual of φ to be the map $\varphi^* \in \text{Hom}(W^*, V^*)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^* : W^* &\rightarrow V^* \\ \eta &\mapsto \eta \circ \varphi \end{aligned}$$

Note that everything we have defined so far does not depend on a choice of basis. Now let $B_V = (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n)$ be any basis for V . We define the dual basis $B_V^* = (\mathbf{b}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n^*)$ for V^* by the condition $\mathbf{b}_j^*(\mathbf{b}_i) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\mathbf{b}_j^*(\mathbf{b}_j) = 1$ for $i = j$. Similarly, fix a basis $B_W = (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m)$ for W , with associated dual basis B_W^* . Show that the relationship between the matrix representations of φ and φ^* w.r.t. these bases is

$$\llbracket \varphi^* \rrbracket_{B_V^*}^{B_W^*} = (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{B_W}^{B_V})^t.$$

- (b) What is the status of the map $\varphi^+ := (\rho^V)^{-1} \circ \varphi^* \circ \rho^W$ w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^W$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^V$? Discuss its matrix representations w.r.t. the orthonormal bases B_V and B_W .
- (c) In the special case of $V = W = (V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, consider the map $\varphi^+ = (\rho^V)^{-1} \circ \varphi^* \circ \rho^W$ and try to interpret the adjoint of the endomorphism φ in terms of an isomorphic copy of the dual φ^* via canonical identifications of V with V^* via ρ^V .

Analyse the change of basis transformations w.r.t. changes from an onb $B_V (= B_W)$ to another onb $B'_V (= B'_W)$.

Solution:

- a) By definition, $[\varphi]_{B_W}^{B_V}$ is the matrix A whose entries are given by $\varphi(\mathbf{b}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ji} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_j$. Hence $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_j^*(\varphi(\mathbf{b}_i)) = a_{ji}$.

Next, we calculate the matrix $[\varphi^*]_{B_V}^{B_W}$. By definition, $\varphi^*(\hat{\mathbf{b}}_i^*) = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_i^* \circ \varphi$, so

$$\varphi^*(\hat{\mathbf{b}}_i^*)(\mathbf{b}_j) = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_i^*(\varphi(\mathbf{b}_j)) = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_i^*\left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_{kj} \hat{\mathbf{b}}_k\right) = a_{ij}.$$

The claim follows.

- b) Let $B_V = (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n)$ and $B_W = (\hat{\mathbf{b}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{b}}_m)$ now be orthonormal bases for V and W , respectively. It follows from the definition of ρ^V and ρ^W that $\rho^V(\mathbf{b}_i) = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_i^*$ and $\rho^W(\hat{\mathbf{b}}_i) = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_i^*$. Hence

$$\varphi^+(\hat{\mathbf{b}}_i) = (\rho^V)^{-1} \circ \varphi^* \circ \rho^W(\hat{\mathbf{b}}_i) = (\rho^V)^{-1} \circ \varphi^*(\hat{\mathbf{b}}_i^*) = (\rho^V)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \mathbf{b}_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \mathbf{b}_j.$$

The equality $[\varphi^+]_{B_V}^{B_W} = ([\varphi]_{B_W}^{B_V})^t$ follows.

- c) If $V = W$ and we identify V with V^* via ρ^V , it follows from (a) and (b) that φ^+ corresponds to φ^* under this identification.

Exercise 5 (Positive definiteness and compactness of the unit surface)

- (a) Let σ_A be a bilinear form on \mathbb{R}^n , which in the standard basis is represented by a symmetric matrix A , whose ij th entry $a_{ij} = \sigma_A(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)$. Define the *unit surface*

$$S_A = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sigma_A(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = 1\}.$$

Suppose that S_A is non-empty. Prove that S_A is compact if and only if σ_A is positive definite.

- (b) Let A and B be matrices representing scalar products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Show that the corresponding norms are equivalent in the sense that there exist positive real numbers m and M satisfying

$$m \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_A \leq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_B \leq M \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_A$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Solution:

- a) Let $S = \{\mathbf{v} : \|\mathbf{v}\| = 1\}$ denote the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n with respect to the standard inner product, and let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the quadratic function $f(\mathbf{v}) = \sigma_A(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$, which is clearly continuous. Since $S_A = f^{-1}(1)$ is closed by continuity, S_A is compact if and only if it is bounded.

Suppose first that σ_A is positive definite. Since S is compact, f achieves a minimum value m on S , and since σ_A is positive definite, we have $m > 0$. Let $\mathbf{v} \in S_A$. Since $\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} \in S$, we have

$$f\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}\right) = \sigma_A\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}, \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}\right) = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \sigma_A(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \geq m.$$

Finally, since $m > 0$ we have $\|\mathbf{v}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$, so S_A is bounded.

Conversely, suppose that σ_A is not positive definite, so there exists $\mathbf{u} \in S$ such that $f(\mathbf{u}) \leq 0$. Since S_A is not empty, there exists $\mathbf{w} \in S$ for which $f(\mathbf{w}) > 0$. The two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{w} are therefore independent, so for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ the vector $\frac{\lambda \mathbf{u} + (1-\lambda)\mathbf{w}}{\|\lambda \mathbf{u} + (1-\lambda)\mathbf{w}\|}$ is well-defined, and in S . So for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \frac{\lambda \mathbf{u} + (1-\lambda)\mathbf{w}}{\|\lambda \mathbf{u} + (1-\lambda)\mathbf{w}\|}$ satisfies $0 < f(\mathbf{v}) < \varepsilon$, by the intermediate value theorem. It follows that $\mathbf{w} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{f(\mathbf{v})}} \in S_A$ and $\|\mathbf{w}\| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$. Since ε was arbitrary, it follows that S_A is not bounded.

-
- b) Let m_A and M_A be the minimum and maximum values achieved by the function f_A defined by $f_A(\mathbf{v}) = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_A$ on the sphere S . Clearly m_A and M_A are positive real numbers. Similarly, let m_B and M_B be the minimum and maximum values achieved by the function f_B defined by $f_B(\mathbf{v}) = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_B$ on S . Define $M = \frac{M_B}{m_A}$ and $m = \frac{m_B}{M_A}$. The desired inequality

$$m \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_A \leq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_B \leq M \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_A$$

follows for all $\mathbf{v} \in S$. The fact that this holds for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ follows.