

Linear Algebra II

Exercise Sheet no. 4



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Prof. Dr. Otto
Dr. Le Roux
Dr. Linshaw

Summer term 2011
May 2, 2011

Exercise 1 (Warm-up)

Prove the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem for 2×2 matrices by direct computation.

Solution:

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly the characteristic polynomial $p_A(\lambda)$ is given by

$$p_A(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - (a+d)\lambda + (ad-bc).$$

By substituting A into $p_A(\lambda)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & A^2 - (a+d)A + (ad-bc)E_2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} - (a+d) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + (ad-bc) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a^2+cb & ab+bd \\ ac+dc & cb+d^2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a^2+ad & ab+bd \\ ca+cd & da+d^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} ad-cb & 0 \\ 0 & ad-cb \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2 (Eigenvalues)

Let p be a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[X]$ and $A \in \mathbb{F}^{(n,n)}$. Show that if λ is an eigenvalue of A , then $p(\lambda)$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix $p(A)$.

Solution:

If $p = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k X^k$, then

$$p(A) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k A^k = a_0 E_n + a_1 A + \dots + a_m A^m.$$

Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ be an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ . It is easy to see by induction on k that \mathbf{v} is an eigenvalue of A^k with eigenvalue λ^k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p(A) \cdot \mathbf{v} &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^m a_k A^k \right) \mathbf{v} = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k (A^k \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \lambda^k \mathbf{v} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^m a_k \lambda^k \right) \mathbf{v} \\ &= p(\lambda) \mathbf{v} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3 (Trace)

Recall that $\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$ is the *trace* of an $n \times n$ -matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{F}^{(n,n)}$.

- Show that for any matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{F}^{(n,n)}$, $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$. Use this to show that similar matrices have the same trace.
- How does the characteristic polynomial p_A of a matrix A determine $\text{tr}(A)$ and $\det(A)$? From this, conclude (again) that the trace is invariant under similarity (as is the determinant, of course).

(c) Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that $\text{tr}(ABC) \neq \text{tr}(ACB)$. Therefore the trace is not invariant under arbitrary permutations of products of matrices.

Solution:

a) Since the ij -th entry $(AB)_{(ij)}$ is given by $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj}$, we have $\text{tr}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{ki}$. Similarly, $\text{tr}(BA) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} a_{ki}$, so the claim is immediate.

Suppose next that $A = CBC^{-1}$ for some invertible matrix C . Since $\text{tr}(CR) = \text{tr}(RC)$ for $R = BC^{-1}$, we have

$$\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(CR) = \text{tr}(RC) = \text{tr}(BC^{-1}C) = \text{tr}(B).$$

b) Let $p_A = \sum_{i=0}^n a_n X^n$ be the characteristic polynomial of A . We have

$$a_n = (-1)^n, \quad a_{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} \text{tr}(A), \quad \text{and} \quad a_0 = \det(A).$$

By Observation 1.1.7 in the lecture notes, similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomial. Hence, they also have the same trace and determinant.

c) A calculation shows that $\text{tr}(ABC) = 15$ and $\text{tr}(ACB) = 7$.

Exercise 4 (Characteristic polynomial)

(a) Determine the characteristic polynomial p_A of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & a_3 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } n \geq 1.$$

Hint: expand the determinant along the last column.

(b) Show that every polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ of degree $n \geq 1$ with leading coefficient $(-1)^n$ occurs as a characteristic polynomial of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{(n,n)}$.

Solution:

a) $p_A = (-1)^n x^n + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$.

b) Let $n \geq 1$ and $p = (-1)^n x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$. Take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{n-1} a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{n-1} a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{n-1} a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{n-1} a_3 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & (-1)^{n-1} a_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & (-1)^{n-1} a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

By (b), we get that

$$p_A = (-1)^n x^n + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1} a_i x^i = (-1)^n x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i = p.$$

Exercise 5 (Polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2)

- (a) Show that in $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$ any non-linear polynomial with an odd number of powers X^i for $i \geq 1$ (with or without the constant term 1) is reducible.
- (b) Find in $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$ all irreducible polynomials of degree 3 and 4.

Solution:

- a) A non-linear polynomial without the constant term 1 has the root 0, a non-linear polynomial with an odd number of powers X^i for $i \geq 1$ and the constant term 1 has the root 1. So reducibility follows from Proposition 1.2.12 on page 21 of the notes.
- b) We first consider polynomials of degree 3. According to (a), the only polynomials that need to be considered are $p_1 = X^3 + X + 1$ and $p_2 = X^3 + X^2 + 1$. Both of these are irreducible, for if they were reducible, they would be divisible by a linear factor X or $X + 1$. But that is impossible, since neither 0 nor 1 is a root of either of the two polynomials.

Now consider polynomials of degree 4. According to (a), the only polynomials that come into consideration are:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= X^4 + X + 1, \\ p_2 &= X^4 + X^2 + 1, \\ p_3 &= X^4 + X^3 + 1, \\ p_4 &= X^4 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Neither of these is divisible by a linear factor, since they have no roots. So if any of these were reducible, they would factor as a product of two irreducible polynomials of degree 2. But the only irreducible polynomial of degree 2 in $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$ is $X^2 + X + 1$. So if any of the above would be reducible, it would be $(X^2 + X + 1)^2 = X^4 + X^2 + 1 = p_2$. So p_1, p_3 and p_4 are the irreducible polynomials of degree 4 in $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$.