

Fourier series

A. Complex numbers – a recapitulation

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$. The complex number $\bar{z} := a - ib$ is called the *complex conjugate* of z . The *absolute value* $|z|$ is defined as

$$|z| := \sqrt{z\bar{z}} = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \quad (\geq 0).$$

We call $a = \operatorname{Re}(z)$ the *real part* of z and $b = \operatorname{Im}(z)$ the *imaginary part* of z . We have

$$\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z}), \quad \operatorname{Im}(z) = \frac{1}{2i}(z - \bar{z})$$

Note: $z_1 = z_2 \iff \operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.

The following calculation rules hold for conjugation and for taking the absolute value. When $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\overline{\bar{z}} = z, \quad \overline{z + w} = \bar{z} + \bar{w}, \quad \overline{z \cdot w} = \bar{z} \cdot \bar{w},$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq |\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq |z|, \quad \operatorname{Im}(z) \leq |\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq |z|,$$

$$|z| \geq 0, \quad |z| = 0 \iff z = 0, \quad |zw| = |z||w|$$

$$|z + w| \leq |z| + |w| \quad (\text{triangle inequality}).$$

Definition: A sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $c \in \mathbb{C}$, if to each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|c_n - c| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Recall: $c_n \rightarrow c \iff \operatorname{Re}(c_n) \rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(c)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(c_n) \rightarrow \operatorname{Im}(c)$.

Euler's formula holds

$$\cos x + i \sin x = e^{ix} \quad \left(= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^n}{n!} \right),$$

since $i^n = 1$ if $n = 4k$, $i^n = i$ if $n = 4k + 1$, $i^n = -1$ if $n = 4k + 2$, and $i^n = -i$ if $n = 4k + 3$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and, therefore,

$$\cos x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k)!} x^{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \quad (\text{conv. absolutely}),$$

$$i \sin x = i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)!} x^{2k+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \quad (\text{conv. absolutely}).$$

We recall the special case of the functional equation for the exponential function

$$e^{ix} e^{iy} = e^{i(x+y)} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R};$$

in particular, e^{ix} is a 2π -periodic function, $e^{i(x+2\pi)} = e^{ix}$ all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\overline{e^{ix}} = e^{-ix}$.

B. Pointwise convergence of Fourier series

A basic problem of analysis consists in controlling/approximating “complicated” quantities by “simple” ones:

- a) A real number x , $0 < x < 1$, $x = 0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots$, $a_i \in \{0, \dots, 9\}$, is determined by the sequence of the finite decimal fraction $(x_n)_n$, $x_n = 0, a_1, \dots, a_n$.
- b) If $f \in C^\infty(I)$, $a \in I$, one can construct the sequence of Taylor polynomials $(T_n(f; a))_n$, $T_n(f; a)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x - a)^k$, and try to approximate f by this sequence of Taylor polynomials.
- c) J. Fourier’s (1768 - 1830) vision was: Each continuous, 2π -periodic function can be approximated arbitrarily accurate by the partial sums of the nowadays called Fourier series (in particular, by trigonometric polynomials $t(x) := \sum_{k=-n}^n a_k e^{ikx}$).
Now we want to state this more precisely.

Let the uniformly convergent trigonometric series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx} =: f(x), \quad c_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

be given. Since all terms of the sum are continuous and 2π -periodic, this series defines a continuous and 2π -periodic function; Notation: $f \in C_{2\pi}$, where

$$C_{2\pi} := \{g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) : g(x + 2\pi) = g(x) \text{ all } x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Problem: What is the relation between f and $(c_k)_k$? How can one obtain the coefficients $(c_k)_k$ from f , and vice versa? Are the coefficients uniquely determined?

Recall that for jump continuous functions $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we defined

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx := \int_a^b (\operatorname{Re} f(x)) dx + i \int_a^b (\operatorname{Im} f(x)) dx.$$

Therefore, the following definition is reasonable.

Definition. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a 2π -periodic, jump continuous function, notation: $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}$. We call the numbers

$$\widehat{f}_k := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

the **Fourier coefficients** of f and the series

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}_k e^{ikx},$$

i.e., the sequence of the partial sums $(s_n(f))_n$, $s_n(f; x) := \sum_{k=-n}^n \widehat{f}_k e^{ikx}$, the **Fourier series** of f .

If f , like in (1), is given by a uniformly convergent trigonometric series, then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_j &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx} \right) e^{-ijx} dx = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(k-j)x} dx \\ &= c_j, \quad \text{since } \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(k-j)x} dx = \begin{cases} 1 & , k = j \\ 0 & , k \neq j \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if f is a trigonometric polynomial,

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k e^{ikx} \Rightarrow s_n(f) = f, \quad n \geq N.$$

Counterexamples show that the partial sums do not converge in general – there exist $f \in C_{2\pi}$, whose partial sums diverge in one point (and hence in countably many points). L. Fejér (1880 - 1959) recognized that, though the partial sums do not converge in the sense of Cauchy, they do converge in a weaker sense, namely, their first arithmetic means converge.

(Recall: If $(s_n)_n \subset \mathbb{C}$, $s_n \rightarrow s \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k \rightarrow s$.)

We compute the first arithmetic means of the partial sums of the Fourier series

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k(f; x) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=-k}^k \widehat{f}_j e^{ijx} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=-n}^n \widehat{f}_j \left(\sum_{k=|j|}^n 1 \right) e^{ijx} \\ (3) \quad &= \sum_{j=-n}^n \widehat{f}_j \frac{n+1-|j|}{n+1} e^{ijx} = \sum_{j=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{n+1} \right) \widehat{f}_j e^{ijx}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 (Fejér's Theorem). Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}$ (i.e., $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is 2π -periodic and jump continuous).

a) If f is continuous at t , then

$$\sigma_n(f; t) := \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) \widehat{f}_k e^{ikt} \rightarrow f(t) \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

b) If f is continuous on $[a, b] \subseteq [-\pi, \pi]$, then the convergence is uniform on $[a, b]$. In particular, if $f \in C_{2\pi}$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_n(f) - f\|_\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{-\pi \leq t \leq \pi} |\sigma_n(f; t) - f(t)| \right] = 0.$$

Corollary 1 (Uniqueness Theorem). If $f, g \in C_{2\pi}$ and $\widehat{f}_k = \widehat{g}_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $f = g$.

Proof. Use the triangle inequality for the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm and the hypothesis $\widehat{f}_k = \widehat{g}_k$ to obtain

$$\|f - g\|_\infty \leq \|f - \sigma_n(f)\|_\infty + \|\sigma_n(g) - g\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Corollary 2 (Approximation Theorem). To each $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a trigonometric polynomial T such that

$$\|f - T\|_\infty < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Choose, e.g., $T = \sigma_n(f)$.

□

Corollary 3 (Lemma of Riemann). If $f \in C_{2\pi}$, then $\lim_{|k| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f}_k = 0$.

Proof. To given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f - \sigma_n(f)\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. Then one obtains for $|k| > n$ by the definition of the Fourier coefficients

$$|\widehat{f}_k| = |\widehat{f}_k - \widehat{\sigma_n(f)}_k| = |(f - \sigma_n(f))_k| \leq \|f - \sigma_n(f)\|_\infty < \varepsilon.$$

□

To prove the Theorem of Fejér we need three lemmas.

Lemma 1. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}$, then

$$\sigma_n(f; t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t-s) K_n(s) ds, \quad \text{where } K_n(s) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) e^{iks}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(f; t) &= \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx e^{ikt} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) e^{ik(t-x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t-\pi}^{t+\pi} f(t-y) K_n(y) dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t-y) K_n(y) dy; \end{aligned}$$

here the last equality holds, because $f(t-y) K_n(y)$ is a 2π -periodic function in y and the integral of a periodic function, which is integrated over a full period, does not change, if one shifts the integration interval. \square

Lemma 2.

$$K_n(y) = \begin{cases} n+1 & , y = 2N\pi \\ \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{n+1}{2}y}{\sin \frac{1}{2}y} \right)^2 & , y \neq 2N\pi, N \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $y = 2N\pi$ we have

$$\sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) = 2n+1 - \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k = n+1.$$

(Note: $2 \sum_{k=1}^n k = n(n+1)$.) Now let $y \neq 2N\pi$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and choose $f(y) = \sum_{j=-n}^n e^{ijy}$ in (3). Then (3) implies

$$\sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) e^{iky} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=-k}^k e^{ijy} = K_n(y).$$

The inner sum on the right hand side is a finite geometric series. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-iky} \sum_{j=0}^{2k} e^{ijy} &= e^{-iky} \frac{1 - e^{i(2k+1)y}}{1 - e^{iy}} = \frac{(1 - e^{-iy})(e^{-iky} - e^{i(k+1)y})}{2 - 2 \cos y} \\ &= \frac{e^{-iky} - e^{i(k+1)y} - e^{-i(k+1)y} + e^{iky}}{2(1 - \cos y)} = \frac{\cos ky - \cos(k+1)y}{1 - \cos y}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$K_n(y) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\cos ky - \cos(k+1)y}{1 - \cos y} = \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1 - \cos(n+1)y}{1 - \cos y}$$

and, since $1 - \cos my = 2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}my$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the last display yields the assertion. \square

Lemma 3.

- (i) $K_n(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) $K_n(y)$ converges uniformly to 0 on $[-\pi, -\delta] \cup [\delta, \pi]$ for each δ (fixed), $0 < \delta < \pi$.
- (iii) $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(y) dy = 1$.

Proof. (i) is obvious on account of Lemma 2. Likewise (ii), since

$$K_n(y) \leq \frac{1}{(n+1) \sin^2 \delta/2} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for every fixed } \delta, 0 < \delta < \pi.$$

(iii) $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(y) dy = \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{iky} dy = 1$, since all terms of the sum except for the term with $k = 0$ vanish. \square

Idea of proof of Fejér's Theorem: In a certain sense, for small $\delta > 0$ and for large n we may argue as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(f; t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(y) f(t-y) dy \stackrel{(ii)}{\approx} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y| \leq \delta} K_n(y) f(t-y) dy \\ &\approx f(t) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y| \leq \delta} K_n(y) dy \quad (\text{since } f \text{ is continuous at } t) \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\approx} f(t) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(y) dy \stackrel{(iii)}{\approx} f(t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Fejér's Theorem. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Note the following facts:

- (α) Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}$, there exists an $M > 0$ such that $|f(y)| \leq M$ for all y .
- (β) Due to the continuity of f at t there exists $\delta = \delta_{\varepsilon, t} > 0$ such that $|f(y) - f(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all y , $|y - t| < \delta$. This δ will now be fixed.
- (γ) $|K_n(y)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$ for all y , $\delta \leq |y| \leq \pi$ and $n \geq N_{t, \varepsilon}$.

Thus, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sigma_n(f; t) - f(t)| &\stackrel{(iii)}{=} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t-y) K_n(y) dy - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) K_n(y) dy \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{|y| \leq \delta} + \int_{\delta \leq |y| \leq \pi} \right) |f(t-y) - f(t)| K_n(y) dy \\
&\stackrel{(\beta, \alpha)}{\leq} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K_n(y) dy + \frac{2M}{2\pi} \int_{\delta \leq |y| \leq \pi} K_n(y) dy \\
&\stackrel{(\gamma)}{\leq} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{M}{\pi} \int_{\delta \leq |y| \leq \pi} \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} dy < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_{\varepsilon, t}.
\end{aligned}$$

If f is continuous on $[a, b]$, then f is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$, and the previous arguments hold uniformly w.r.t. $t \in [a, b]$. \square

C. Parseval's formula

We introduce a scalar product and a related norm on $\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}$:

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx, \quad \|f\|_2 := \langle f, f \rangle^{1/2}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}.$$

then there hold the inequality of Cauchy-Schwarz (cf. Chap. V, Cor. 2.19 or see the Appendix) and the triangle inequality, i.e.,

$$|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2, \quad \|f + g\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2 + \|g\|_2.$$

Theorem 2. For $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}$

$$\|f - s_n(f)\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2 - \sum_{k=-n}^n |\widehat{f}_k|^2,$$

where $s_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \widehat{f}_k e^{ikx}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the partial sums of the Fourier series. In particular, one gets the following results:

(a) If f is a trigonometric polynomial of degree N , i.e., $f(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N \widehat{f}_k e^{ikx}$, then, by (2),

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=-n}^n |\widehat{f}_k|^2 \quad \text{for every } n \geq N.$$

(b) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}$, then

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}_k|^2 \leq \|f\|_2^2, \quad (\text{Bessel's inequality}).$$

Proof. Let $g := s_n(f)$. Then $\widehat{g}_k = \widehat{f}_k$ for $|k| \leq n$, and even

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \overline{\sum_{k=-n}^n \widehat{f}_k e^{ikx}} dx = \sum_{k=-n}^n \overline{\widehat{f}_k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx \\ &= \sum_{k=-n}^n \overline{\widehat{f}_k} \widehat{f}_k = \sum_{k=-n}^n \overline{\widehat{g}_k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x) e^{-ikx} dx = \langle g, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x) - g(x)|^2 dx = \langle f - g, f - g \rangle \\ &= \langle f, f \rangle - \langle f, g \rangle - \langle g, f \rangle + \langle g, g \rangle \\ &= \|f\|_2^2 - 2 \sum_{k=-n}^n |\widehat{f}_k|^2 + \sum_{k=-n}^n |\widehat{f}_k|^2 = \|f\|_2^2 - \sum_{k=-n}^n |\widehat{f}_k|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{k=-n}^n |\widehat{f}_k|^2 \leq \|f\|_2^2 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

yielding Bessel's inequality as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

The next result shows that the sequence of partial sums of the Fourier series of f converges to f in the weaker sense of *integral mean squares*. Here “weaker sense” means that uniform convergence in $C_{2\pi}$ implies “convergence of integral mean squares”.

Theorem 3. (Formulas of Parseval and of Plancherel) *If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}$ then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_n(f) - f\|_2^2 \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n \widehat{f}_k e^{ikx} - f(x) \right|^2 dx = 0$$

und

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}_k|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = \|f\|_2^2.$$

Proof. The triangle inequality (w.r.t. the integral norm $\|\cdot\|_2$) yields

$$(4) \quad \|f - s_n(f)\|_2 \leq \|f - \sigma_n(f)\|_2 + \|\sigma_n(f) - s_n(f)\|_2 =: I_1 + I_2.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By Cor. 1.7 and 1.8, Chap. V, f is bounded, say $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq M_f$, and by Lemma 1 and 3 $\|\sigma_n(f)\|_{\infty} \leq M_f$. Further, by Cor. 1.8, Chap. V, f has at most countably many points of discontinuity. Let the first such point be the center of an open interval with length $(\varepsilon/M_f)^2 2^{-1}$, the j -th be the center of an open interval with length $(\varepsilon/M_f)^2 2^{-j}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote the union of all these intervals by E . Then, by the triangle inequality (w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2$ -norm) and the notation $E^c := [-\pi, \pi] \setminus E$,

$$(5) \quad I_1 \leq 2M_f \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\varepsilon/M_f)^2 2^{-j} \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{E^c} \sup_{x \in E^c} |\sigma_n(f, x) - f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

By Part (b) of Fejér's Theorem, the last integrand becomes less than $\varepsilon/\sqrt{2\pi}$ for all $n \geq N_0$, hence $I_1 \leq 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon$, $n \geq N_0$.

Since $\sigma_n(f)$ und $s_n(f)$ are trigonometric polynomials of degree less or equal n , we obtain by Theorem 2 (a)

$$(6) \quad \|\sigma_n(f) - s_n(f)\|_2^2 = \left\| \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{|k|}{n+1} \widehat{f}_k e^{ikx} \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(\frac{|k|}{n+1} \right)^2 |\widehat{f}_k|^2$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}_k|^2$ converges by Bessel's inequality, choose $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that

$$\sum_{N < |k| \leq n} \left(\frac{|k|}{n+1} \right)^2 |\widehat{f}_k|^2 \leq \sum_{|k| > N} |\widehat{f}_k|^2 < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{for all } n > N_1.$$

So $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed. Now choose $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $N_2 > N_1$, so big that

$$\frac{|k|^2}{(n+1)^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(\langle f, f \rangle + 1)} \quad \text{for all } k, |k| \leq N_1, \text{ all } n \geq N_2.$$

In view of (6) the last two estimates and Bessel's inequality show that for all $n \geq \max(N_0, N_2)$ we have

$$I_2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(\langle f, f \rangle + 1)} \sum_{k=-N}^N |\widehat{f}_k|^2 + \sum_{N < |k| \leq n} \left(\frac{|k|}{n+1} \right)^2 |\widehat{f}_k|^2 < \varepsilon, \quad n \geq \max(N_0, N_2),$$

and, therefore, on account of (4), $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - s_n(f)\|_2 = 0$.

The final statement, i.e., $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}_k|^2 = \|f\|_2^2$, is an easy consequence of the first part and of Theorem 2 when $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Application: $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1)^{-2} = \frac{\pi^2}{8}$; in particular $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$.

Proof. Consider

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & , -\pi \leq x < 0 \\ 1 & , 0 \leq x < \pi \end{cases} \implies \widehat{f}_k = \frac{1}{\pi i k} \begin{cases} 0 & , k \text{ even} \\ 2 & , k \text{ odd} . \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3 yields

$$1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{k \text{ ungerade}} \frac{4}{\pi^2 k^2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{8}{\pi^2 (2j+1)^2}$$

or equivalently $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1)^{-2} = \pi^2/8$. Defining

$$S := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{8} + \frac{1}{4}S,$$

this equation in S admits the unique solution $S = \pi^2/6$.

Lemma 4. Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$ be piecewise continuously differentiable, i.e., f' has at most finitely many jump discontinuities. Then $[\widehat{f'}]_k = ik \widehat{f}_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: First assume that f' has only one jump discontinuity, say at $x_0 \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Then, integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi [\widehat{f'}]_k &= \left(\int_{-\pi}^{x_0^-} + \int_{x_0^+}^{\pi} \right) f'(x) e^{-ikx} dx \\ &= f(x) e^{-ikx} \Big|_{-\pi}^{x_0} + f(x) e^{-ikx} \Big|_{x_0}^{\pi} - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) (-ik) e^{-ikx} dx . \end{aligned}$$

The boundary terms vanish since $f, e^{-ikx} \in C_{2\pi}$. This proves the assertion in the case of just one jump discontinuity of f' . If f' has finitely many jump discontinuities, the previous procedure may be repeated finitely many times. \square

Theorem 5 *Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$ be piecewise continuously differentiable. Then the partial sums of the Fourier series of f converge in the supremum norm to f , i.e.,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - s_n(f)\|_{\infty} \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in [-\pi, \pi]} \left| f(x) - \sum_{k=-n}^n \widehat{f}_k e^{ikx} \right| = 0.$$

Proof. Since f' is piecewise continuous, $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(x)|^2 dx < \infty$. Then by Theorem 3 and Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}_k| &= |\widehat{f}_0| + \sum_{k \neq 0} \left| \frac{[\widehat{f'}]_k}{ik} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)| dx + \left(\sum_k |[\widehat{f'}]_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k \neq 0} k^{-2} \right)^{1/2} < \infty; \end{aligned}$$

here we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the vector space

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(a_k)_k : \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty\}$$

endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle (a_k)_k, (b_k)_k \rangle_{\ell^2} := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \overline{b_k},$$

see the Appendix. Using the Weierstraß M-test, the sequence of partial sums $\left(\sum_{|k| \leq n} \widehat{f}_k e^{ikx} \right)_n$ is uniformly convergent to a continuous (!) function. Now the Uniqueness Theorem yields the assertion. \square

D. Appendix

Lemma. *Let X be a vector space over the field \mathbb{C} (or \mathbb{R}) with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then the **inequality of Cauchy-Schwarz***

$$|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \langle f, f \rangle^{1/2} \langle g, g \rangle^{1/2}$$

holds for all $f, g \in X$. Defining $\|f\|_X := \langle f, f \rangle^{1/2}$, the triangle inequality

$$\|f + g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X + \|g\|_X$$

*holds for all $f, g \in X$; moreover, $\|\cdot\|_X$ is a **norm** on X .*

Proof. For $f = 0$, the inequalities are obvious. Now let $f \neq 0$, set $\alpha = \langle f, g \rangle$ and choose $\lambda = -\bar{\alpha}/\langle f, f \rangle$. Then a simple calculation shows that

$$0 \leq \langle \lambda f + g, \lambda f + g \rangle = |\lambda|^2 \|f\|_X^2 + \lambda \langle f, g \rangle + \bar{\lambda} \langle g, f \rangle + \|g\|_X^2 = \|g\|_X^2 - \frac{|\alpha|^2}{\|f\|_X^2},$$

yielding the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

The triangle inequality may now be proved analogously to the triangle inequality on \mathbb{C} :

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\|_X^2 &= \langle f + g, f + g \rangle = \|f\|_X^2 + \langle f, g \rangle + \langle g, f \rangle + \|g\|_X^2 \\ &= \|f\|_X^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle f, g \rangle) + \|g\|_X^2 \leq \|f\|_X^2 + 2\|f\|_X \|g\|_X + \|g\|_X^2. \end{aligned}$$

□