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## 7th Exercise Sheet Analysis I (engl.) <br> Winter Term 2009/10

First we give the following theorem.

## Theorem.

1. For any two numbers $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $x<y$ there exists a rational number $q$ such that $x<q<y$.
2. For any two numbers $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $x<y$ there exists an irrational number $z$ such that $x<z<y$.
3. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a sequence $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of rational numbers such that $q_{n} \rightarrow x$.
4. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of irrational numbers such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$.

## Proof.

1. We have that $x<y$, so $y-x>0$. By the Archimedean principle there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 / k<y-x$. Using this $k$ we define the set

$$
A:=\{n \in \mathbb{Z}: n>k x\} .
$$

This set is not empty, for otherwise $\mathbb{Z}$ would be bounded from above by $k x$. Furthermore, $A$ is bounded from below by $k x$. Thus $A$ has a least element $m$. (This follows analogously to the statement (f) of Theorem 1.12 Chap. 1). We now show that $q:=m / k$ has the property we are after. Firstly $q$ is clearly rational. We also have that $m \in A$ and so $x<m / k=q$ (notice that $k>0)$.
Furthermore we get $m-1 \notin A$ since $m$ is the minimum of $A$. So $m-1 \leq k x$, which implies that $(m-1) / k \leq x$. In total we get

$$
x<q=\frac{m}{k}=\frac{m-1}{k}+\frac{1}{k} \leq x+\frac{1}{k}<x+y-x=y,
$$

which is what we wanted to prove.
2. The number $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. Put $x^{\prime}=x / \sqrt{2}$ and $y^{\prime}=y / \sqrt{2}$. Since $x<y$ it follows that $x^{\prime}<y^{\prime}$. From (1) there is a rational number $q$ such that $x^{\prime}<q<y^{\prime}$. Therefore $x / \sqrt{2}<q<y / \sqrt{2}$ i.e., $x<\sqrt{2} \cdot q<y$. Assume first that $q \neq 0$. If the number $\sqrt{2} \cdot q$ was rational then the number $\sqrt{2}=(\sqrt{2} \cdot q) \cdot \frac{1}{q}$ would be rational too (as a product of two rational numbers - see G7.2). The latter is a contradiction so the number $\sqrt{2} \cdot q$ is irrational. Hence for $z=\sqrt{2} \cdot q$ we have that $x<z<y$ and $z$ is irrational.

If $q=0$ (and so $x^{\prime}<0<y^{\prime}$ ) we can choose another rational number $q^{\prime}$ s.t. $0<q^{\prime}<y^{\prime}$. So $x^{\prime}<q^{\prime}<y^{\prime}$ and $q^{\prime} \neq 0$. Repeat the previous steps with $q^{\prime}$ instead of $q$.
Comment. Instead of the number $\sqrt{2}$ one can choose the number $e$ which is also irrational (see Theorem 3.7 Chap. 2). In fact any positive irrational number would get us the result.
3. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. From (1) we have that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a rational number $q_{n}$ such that $x-\frac{1}{n}<q_{n}<x+\frac{1}{n}$. Thus we have a sequence $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ s.t. $\left|q_{n}-x\right|<\frac{2}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $q_{n} \rightarrow x$.
4. Exactly as in the proof of (3). Notice though that in this case we need to use (2).

We now proceed to the exercises.

## (G7.1)

1. Decide whether the following functions are continuous.
(a) $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: f(x)=\exp \left(x^{2}+1\right)$.
(b) $g:[0,1] \cup\{2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: f(x)=x$, for $x \in[0,1]$ and $f(2)=100$.
2. Suppose $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $f(q)=q^{2}$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Prove that $f(\sqrt{2})=2$.

## (G7.2)

1. Decide whether the following statements are true or false.
(a) If $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ then $p+q, p \cdot q \in \mathbb{Q}$.
(b) If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y \notin \mathbb{Q}$ then $x \cdot y \notin \mathbb{Q}$.
(c) If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{Q}, y \notin \mathbb{Q}$ then $x+y \notin \mathbb{Q}$.
2. Let the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is defined as follows:
$f(x)= \begin{cases}x \cdot(x-1), & \text { if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0, & \text { if } x \notin \mathbb{Q}\end{cases}$
Prove that the function $f$ is continuous exactly at 0 and 1 .

## (G7.3)

Let $f:[0,2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $f(0)=f(2)$. Prove that there is $x_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that $f\left(x_{0}\right)=f\left(x_{0}+1\right)$.

Hint. Consider the function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: g(x)=f(x+1)-f(x)$.

