A Roadmap of Newton-type Methods

A. Andreeva



Ordinary Newton method

• For general nonlinear problems:

$$F'(x^{k}) \Delta x^{k} = -F(x^{k}), \ x^{k+1} = x^{k} + \Delta x^{k}$$

- For system of *n* nonlinear equations Jacobian matrix is required
- First we compute the Newton corrections Δx^k and then improve the iterates x^k to obtain x^{k+1}



Simplified Newton method

• Keeps the initial derivative throughout the whole iteration:

$$F'(x^0)\overline{\Delta x}^k = -F(x^k), \quad x^{k+1} = x^k + \overline{\Delta x}^k$$

• Saves computational cost per iteration



Newton-like methods

- In finite dimension, the Jacobian matrix is:
 - Replaced by some fixed 'close by' Jacobian F'(z), $z \neq x^0$
 - Approximate $F'(x^k)$ by $M(x^k)$

$$M(x^k) \delta x^k = -F(x^k), \quad x^{k+l} = x^k + \delta x^k$$



Exact Newton methods

• When the equation

$$F'(x^{k}) \Delta x^{k} = -F(x^{k})$$

can be solved using *direct* elimination methods, we speak of *exact* Newton methods

• Erroneous when scaling issues are ignored



Local versus global Newton methods

- Local Newton methods require sufficiently good initial guess
- Global Newton methods compensate by virtue of damping or adaptive trust region strategies
- *Exact* global Newton codes:
 - NLEQ-RES residual based
 - NLEQ-ERR error oriented
 - NLEQ-OPT convex optimization



Inexact Newton methods

- Inner iteration $F'(x^k)\delta x_i^k = -F(x^k) + r_i^k$ $x_i^{k+1} = x^k + \delta x_i^k$
- Outer iteration $x^{k+l} = x_i^{k+l}$
- In comparison with exact Newton methods an error arises: $\delta x^k \varDelta x^k$
- GIANT Global Inexact Affine invariant Newton Techniques



Preconditioning

• Direct elimination of 'similar' linear systems

$$C_L F'(x^k) C_R C_R^{-1} (\delta x_i^k - \Delta x_i^k) = C_L r_i^k$$

• Residual or error norm need to be replaced by their preconditioned counterparts

$$\left\|r_{i}^{k}\right\|, \left\|\delta x_{i}^{k} - \varDelta x_{i}^{k}\right\| \rightarrow \left\|C_{L}r_{i}^{k}\right\|, \left\|C_{R}^{-1}(\delta x_{i}^{k} - \varDelta x_{i}^{k})\right\|$$



Matrix-free Newton methods

• Numerical difference approximation

$$F'(x)v = \frac{F(x + \delta v) - F(x)}{\delta}$$



Secant methods

• Substitute the tangent by the secant

$$f'(x^{k} + \delta x_{k}) \rightarrow \frac{f(x^{k} + \delta x_{k}) - f(x^{k})}{\delta x_{k}} = j_{k+1}$$

- Compute the correction $\delta x_{k+l} = -\frac{f(x^{k+l})}{j_{k+l}}, \quad x^{k+l} = x^k + \delta x_k$
- Converges locally *superlinearly*



Quasi-Newton methods

- Extends the secant idea to system of equations $J\delta x_{\kappa} = F(x^{k+1}) - F(x^{k})$
- Previous quasi-Newton step: $J_k \delta x_k = -F(x^k)$
- Jacobian rank-1 update:

$$J_{k+1} = J_k + \frac{F(x^{k+1})z^T}{z^T \delta x_k}$$

- Next quasi-Newton step: $J_{k+l}\delta x_{k+l} = -F(x^{k+l})$



Gauss-Newton methods

- Appropriate for nonlinear least square problems
- Must be statistically well-posed (to be discussed later in Sections 2 and 3)
- Two classes of Gauss-Newton methods:
 - Local good initial guess is required
 - Global otherwise



Quasilinearization

- Infinite dimensional Newton methods for operator equations
- The linearized equations can be solved only approximately
- Similar to inexact Newton methods, where the 'truncation errors' correspond to 'approximation errors'



Inexact Newton multilevel methods

- Infinite dimensional linear Newton systems are approximately solved by linear multilevel methods
- When the approximation errors are controlled within an abstract framework of inexact Newton methods, we speak of *adaptive* Newton multilevel method



Multilevel Newton methods

• Schemes wherein a finite dimensional Newton multigrid method is applied on each level



Nonlinear multigrid methods

- Not Newton methods
- Rather fix point iteration methods
- Not treated here

Adaptive inner solver for inexact Newton Methods

- Idea: solve iteratively the linear systems for the Newton corrections
- The inexact Newton system is given as:

$$Ay_i = b - r_i, \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, i_{max}$$

- Several termination criteria:
 - Residual norm $||r_i||$ is small enough
 - Error norm $||y y_i||$ is small enough
 - Energy norm $||A^{1/2}(y y_i)||$ of the error is small enough



Residual norm minimization: GMRES

- Initial approximation $y_0 \approx y$, initial residual $r_0 = b Ay_0$,
- Set: $\beta = ||r_0||$, $v_1 = r_0 / \beta$, $V_1 = v_1$, iterate $i = 1, 2, ..., i_{max}$
- Step1. Ortogonalization:

$$\hat{v}_{i+1} = Av_i - V_i h_i$$
 where $h_i = V_i^T Av_i$

• Step2. Normalisation:

$$v_{i+1} = \frac{\hat{v}_{i+1}}{\|\hat{v}_{i+1}\|_2}$$



Residual norm minimization: GMRES

• Step3. Update:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{i+l} &= (V_i v_{i+l}) \\ H_i &= \begin{pmatrix} H_{i-l} & h_i \\ 0 & \left\| \hat{v}_{i+l} \right\|_2 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for i=1 drop the left block column} \end{aligned}$$

- Step4. Least squares problem: $z_i = min \|\beta e_l H_i z\|$
- Step5. Approximate solution: $y_i = V_i z_i + y_0$



Characteristics of GMRES

- Storage: up to iteration *i* requires to store *i*+2 vectors of length *n*
- Computational amount: each iteration performs one matrix/vector multiplication. Up to iteration *i*, *i*²*n* flops
- **Preconditioning:** best preconditioning for $C_L = I$



Energy norm minimization: PCG

For symmetric positive definite matrix A the energy product and energy norm are defined as:

$$(u,v) = \langle u, Av \rangle$$
 and $||u||_A^2 = (u,u)$

• Idea: for positive definite $B \approx A^{-1}$ is much easier to compute z = Bc then Ay = b.



Error norm minimization: CGNE

- Idea: minimize the norm $||y y_i||$
- Initialize: initial approximation y_0 , initial residual $r_0 = b Ay_0$

• Set:
$$p_0 = 0$$
, $\beta_0 = 0$, $\sigma_0 = ||r_0||^2$



Error norm minimization: CGNE

For
$$i = 1, 2, ..., i_{max}$$

 $p_i = A^T r_{i-1} + \beta_{i-1} p_{i-1}$
 $\alpha_i = \sigma_{i-1} / ||p_i||^2$
 $\gamma_{i-1}^2 = \alpha_i \sigma_{i-1}$ (Euclideanerror contribution $||y_i - y_{i-1}||^2$)
 $y_i = y_{i-1} + \alpha_i p_i$, $r_i = r_{i-1} - \alpha_i A p_i$
 $\sigma_i = ||r_i||^2$, $\beta_i = \sigma_i / \sigma_{i-1}$



Characteristics of CGNE

- **Storage:** up to iteration *i* requires only 3 vectors of length *n*
- **Computational amount:** up to step *i* the Euclidean inner products sum up to *5in* flops
- **Preconditioning:** $C_R^{-1}(y-y_i)$ is minimized. Therefore, only left preconditioning should be realized.