

Appendix E. Some Analytic Lemmas

Here, we prove some analytic lemmas which we use in the present lectures but don't prove there in order not to interrupt the main line of the arguments. First, we recall two definitions.

E.1 Definition:

1. A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real (or complex) numbers is called Cesàro-summable if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i \text{ exists.}$$

2. Let $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a subsequence of \mathbb{N}_0 . Then $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ has *density* $s \in [0, 1]$, denoted by $d((n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = s$, if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} |\{n_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}| = s$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality.

E.2 Lemma:

For $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |x_i| = 0$.
- (ii) There exists a subsequence N of \mathbb{N}_0 with $d(N) = 1$ such that $\lim_{\substack{n \in N \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} x_n = 0$.

Proof. We define $N_k := \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$.

- (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $J_k := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : |x_n| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$, $k > 0$, and observe that $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots$.

Since $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |x_i| \geq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{k} |J_k \cap N_n|$, each J_k has density 0. Therefore, we can choose integers $0 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n} |J_{k+1} \cap N_n| < \frac{1}{k+1} \quad \text{for } n \geq n_k.$$

Define $J := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (J_{k+1} \cap (N_{n_{k+1}} \setminus N_{n_k}))$ and show $d(J) = 0$.

Let $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$. Then, we obtain

$$J \cap N_n = (J \cap N_{n_k}) \cup (J \cap (N_n \setminus N_{n_k})) \subseteq (J_k \cap N_{n_k}) \cup (J_{k+1} \cap N_n),$$

and conclude that

$$\frac{1}{n} |J \cap N_n| \leq \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

If n tends to infinity, the same is true for k , and hence, J has density 0. Obviously, the sequence $N := \mathbb{N} \setminus J$ has the desired properties.

- (ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c := \sup\{|x_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. Because of (ii) and $d(\mathbb{N} \setminus N) = 0$ there exists $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq n_\varepsilon$ implies $|x_n| < \varepsilon$ for $n \in N$ and $\frac{1}{n} |(\mathbb{N} \setminus N) \cap N_n| <$

ε . If $n \geq n_\varepsilon$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |x_i| &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus N) \cap N_n} |x_i| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N \cap N_n} |x_i| \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n} |(\mathbb{N} \setminus N) \cap N_n| + \varepsilon \\ &\leq (c+1) \cdot \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

■

E.3 Lemma:

Take a sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of complex numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |z_{n+1} - z_n|^2 < \infty.$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = 0$.

Proof. Define $c_n := \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k |z_{k+1} - z_k|^2$. Then

$$\max\{|z_{n+k} - z_n| : 1 \leq k \leq n-2\} \leq \sum_{k=n}^{2n-3} |z_{k+1} - z_k| \leq \left(\sum_{k=n}^{2n-3} |z_{k+1} - z_k|^2 (n-2) \right)^{1/2} \leq c_n$$

$$\text{and } |z_n| = \left| b_{n-1} - 2b_{2n-2} + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (z_{n+k} - z_n) \right| \text{ for } b_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i.$$

■

E.4 Lemma:

Let $N_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ be a subsequence of \mathbb{N}_0 with density $d(N_i) = 1$. Then there exists a subsequence N of \mathbb{N}_0 such that $d(N) = 1$ and $N \setminus N_i$ is finite for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. There exists an increasing sequence $(k_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$1 - 2^{-i} \leq \frac{1}{k} |N_i \cap \{0, \dots, k-1\}| \text{ for all } k \geq k_i.$$

If we define $N := \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i \cup \{0, \dots, k_i - 1\}$, then N has the desired properties. ■

E.5 Lemma:

If $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of positive reals satisfying $x_{n+m} \leq x_n + x_m$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{n}$ exists and equals $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{x_n}{n}$.

Proof. Fix $n > 0$, and for $j > 0$ write $j = kn + m$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $0 \leq m < n$. Then

$$\frac{x_j}{j} = \frac{x_{kn+m}}{kn+m} \leq \frac{x_{kn}}{kn} + \frac{x_m}{kn} \leq \frac{kx_n}{kn} + \frac{x_m}{kn} = \frac{x_n}{n} + \frac{x_m}{kn}.$$

If $j \rightarrow \infty$ then $k \rightarrow \infty$, too, and we obtain

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_j}{j} \leq \frac{x_n}{n}, \text{ and even } \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_j}{j} \leq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{x_n}{n},$$

On the other $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{x_n}{n} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{n}$; and the lemma is proved. ■