

Example 5. Let $I = \mathbb{R}_+$, $\Omega_i = \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{A}_i = \mathfrak{B}$. For the corresponding product space (Ω, \mathfrak{A}) we have $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and

$$|\mathfrak{A}| = |\mathbb{R}| < |\Omega|.$$

Proof: Clearly $|\mathbb{R}| \leq |\mathfrak{A}|$ and $|\mathbb{R}| < |\Omega|$. On the other hand, Theorem 2 shows that $\mathfrak{A} = \sigma(\mathfrak{E})$ for some set \mathfrak{E} with $|\mathfrak{E}| = |\mathbb{R}|$. Hence $|\mathfrak{A}| \leq |\mathbb{R}|$ by Theorem 4.

The space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ already appeared in the introductory Example I.3. The product σ -algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{R}_+} \mathfrak{B}$ is a proper choice on this space. On the subspace $C(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ we can take the trace- σ -algebra. It is important to note, however, that

$$C(\mathbb{R}_+) \notin \mathfrak{A},$$

see Übung 3.2. It turns out that the Borel σ -algebra $\mathfrak{B}(C(\mathbb{R}_+))$ that is generated by the topology of uniform convergence on compact intervals coincides with the trace- σ -algebra of \mathfrak{A} in $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$, see Bauer (1996, Theorem 38.6).

4 Construction of (Probability) Measures

Given: $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and $\emptyset \neq \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{P}(\Omega)$.

Definition 1. $\mu : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ is called

(i) *additive* if:

$$A, B \in \mathfrak{A} \wedge A \cap B = \emptyset \wedge A \cup B \in \mathfrak{A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B),$$

(ii) *σ -additive* if

$$A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ pairwise disjoint} \wedge \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathfrak{A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i),$$

(iii) *content (on \mathfrak{A})* if

$$\mathfrak{A} \text{ algebra} \quad \wedge \quad \mu \text{ additive} \quad \wedge \quad \mu(\emptyset) = 0,$$

(iv) *pre-measure (on \mathfrak{A})* if

$$\mathfrak{A} \text{ semi-algebra} \quad \wedge \quad \mu \text{ } \sigma\text{-additive} \quad \wedge \quad \mu(\emptyset) = 0,$$

(v) *measure (on \mathfrak{A})* if

$$\mathfrak{A} \text{ } \sigma\text{-algebra} \quad \wedge \quad \mu \text{ pre-measure},$$

(vi) *probability measure (on \mathfrak{A})* if

$$\mu \text{ measure} \quad \wedge \quad \mu(\Omega) = 1.$$

Definition 2. $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ is called a

- (i) *measure space*, if μ is a measure on the σ -algebra \mathfrak{A} in Ω ,
- (ii) *probability space*, if μ is a probability measure on the σ -algebra \mathfrak{A} in Ω .

Example 1.

- (i) *Lebesgue pre-measure* λ_1 on the class \mathfrak{I}_1 of intervals from Example 1.1.(i): $\lambda_1(A)$ is the length of $A \in \mathfrak{I}_1$, i.e.,

$$\lambda_1([a, b]) = b - a$$

if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \leq b$ and $\lambda_1(A) = \infty$ if $A \in \mathfrak{I}_1$ is unbounded. See Billingsley (1979, p. 22), Elstrodt (1996, §II.2), or Analysis IV.

Analogously for cartesian products of such intervals. Hereby we get the semi-algebra \mathfrak{I}_k of rectangles in \mathbb{R}^k . The *Lebesgue pre-measure* λ_k on \mathfrak{I}_k yields the volume $\lambda_k(A)$ of $A \in \mathfrak{I}_k$, i.e., the product of the side-lengths of A . See Elstrodt (1996, §III.2) or Analysis IV.

- (ii) for any semi-algebra \mathfrak{A} in Ω and $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\varepsilon_\omega(A) = 1_A(\omega), \quad A \in \mathfrak{A},$$

defines a pre-measure. If \mathfrak{A} is a σ -algebra, then ε_ω is called the *Dirac measure* at the point ω .

More generally: take sequences $(\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Ω and $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{R}_+ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = 1$. Then

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cdot 1_A(\omega_n), \quad A \in \mathfrak{A},$$

defines a *discrete probability measure* on any σ -algebra \mathfrak{A} in Ω . Note that $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cdot \varepsilon_{\omega_n}$.

- (iii) *Counting measure* on a σ -algebra \mathfrak{A}

$$\mu(A) = |A|, \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Uniform distribution in the case $|\Omega| < \infty$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{P}(\Omega)$

$$\mu(A) = \frac{|A|}{|\Omega|}, \quad A \subset \Omega.$$

- (iv) On the algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \{A \subset \Omega : A \text{ finite or } A^c \text{ finite}\}$ let

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |A| < \infty \\ \infty & \text{if } |A| = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then μ is a content but not a pre-measure in general.

(v) For the semi-algebra of measurable rectangles in Example 3.1 and $A_i \subset \{0, 1\}$

$$\mu(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n \times \Omega_{n+1} \times \cdots) = \frac{|A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n|}{|\{0, 1\}^n|}$$

is well defined and yields a pre-measure μ with $\mu(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}) = 1$.

Remark 1. For every content μ on \mathfrak{A} and $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$

- (i) $A \subset B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ (*monotonicity*),
- (ii) $\mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$,
- (iii) $A \subset B \wedge \mu(A) < \infty \Rightarrow \mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$,
- (iv) $\mu(A) < \infty \wedge \mu(B) < \infty \Rightarrow |\mu(A) - \mu(B)| \leq \mu(A \Delta B)$,
- (v) $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ (*subadditivity*).

To proof these facts use, for instance, $A \cup B = A \cup (B \cap A^c)$.

Theorem 1. Consider the following properties for a content μ on \mathfrak{A} :

- (i) μ pre-measure,
- (ii) $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A} \wedge \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathfrak{A} \Rightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ (σ -subadditivity),
- (iii) $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A} \wedge A_n \uparrow A \in \mathfrak{A} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A)$ (σ -continuity from below),
- (iv) $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A} \wedge A_n \downarrow A \in \mathfrak{A} \wedge \mu(A_1) < \infty \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A)$ (σ -continuity from above),
- (v) $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A} \wedge A_n \downarrow \emptyset \wedge \mu(A_1) < \infty \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ (σ -continuity at \emptyset).

Then

$$(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v).$$

If $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, then (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv).

Proof. '(i) \Rightarrow (ii)': Put $B_m = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ and $B_0 = \emptyset$. Then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (B_m \setminus B_{m-1})$$

with pairwise disjoint sets $B_m \setminus B_{m-1} \in \mathfrak{A}$. Clearly $B_m \setminus B_{m-1} \subset A_m$. Hence, by Remark 1.(i),

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_m \setminus B_{m-1}) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_m).$$

‘(ii) \Rightarrow (i)’: Let $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A}$ be pairwise disjoint with $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \geq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i),$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right).$$

The reverse estimate holds by assumption.

‘(i) \Rightarrow (iii)’: Put $A_0 = \emptyset$ and $B_m = A_m \setminus A_{m-1}$. Then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^n \mu(B_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^n B_m\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n).$$

‘(iii) \Rightarrow (i)’: Let $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A}$ be pairwise disjoint with $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathfrak{A}$, and put $B_m = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$. Then $B_m \uparrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ and

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).$$

‘(iv) \Rightarrow (v)’ trivially holds.

‘(v) \Rightarrow (iv)’: Use $B_n = A_n \setminus A \downarrow \emptyset$.

‘(i)’ \Rightarrow (v)’: Note that $\mu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i \setminus A_{i+1})$. Hence

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \mu(A_i \setminus A_{i+1}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_k).$$

‘(iv) $\wedge \mu(\Omega) < \infty \Rightarrow$ (iii)’: Clearly $A_n \uparrow A$ implies $A_n^c \downarrow A^c$. Thus

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\Omega) - \mu(A^c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(\Omega) - \mu(A_n^c)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n).$$

□

Theorem 2 (Extension: semi-algebra \rightsquigarrow algebra). For every semi-algebra \mathfrak{A} and every additive mapping $\mu : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ with $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$

$$\exists \hat{\mu} \text{ content on } \alpha(\mathfrak{A}) : \hat{\mu}|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mu.$$

Moreover, if μ is σ -additive then $\hat{\mu}$ is σ -additive, too.

Proof. We have $\alpha(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{A}^+$, see Lemma 1.1. Necessarily

$$\hat{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) \tag{1}$$

for $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathfrak{A}$ pairwise disjoint. Use (1) to obtain a well-defined extension of μ onto $\alpha(\mathfrak{A})$. It remains to verify that $\hat{\mu}$ is additive or even σ -additive. □

Example 2. For the semi-algebra \mathfrak{A} in Example 1.(v) $\alpha(\mathfrak{A})$ is the algebra of cylinder sets, and

$$\widehat{\mu}(A \times \Omega_{n+1} \times \cdots) = \frac{|A|}{|\{0,1\}^n|}, \quad A \subset \{0,1\}^n.$$

Theorem 3 (Extension: algebra \rightsquigarrow σ -algebra, Carathéodory). For every pre-measure μ on an algebra \mathfrak{A}

$$\exists \mu^* \text{ measure on } \sigma(\mathfrak{A}): \quad \mu^*|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mu.$$

Proof. Define $\bar{\mu} : \mathfrak{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$\bar{\mu}(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) : A_i \in \mathfrak{A}, A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right\}.$$

Then $\bar{\mu}$ is an *outer measure*, i.e., $\bar{\mu}(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\bar{\mu}$ is monotone and σ -subadditive, see Billingsley (1979, Exmp. 11.1) and compare Analysis IV. Actually it suffices to have $\mu \geq 0$ and $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.

We claim that

$$(i) \quad \bar{\mu}|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mu,$$

$$(ii) \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{A} \forall B \in \mathfrak{P}(\Omega) : \quad \bar{\mu}(B) = \bar{\mu}(B \cap A) + \bar{\mu}(B \cap A^c).$$

Ad (i): For $A \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$\bar{\mu}(A) \leq \mu(A) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \mu(\emptyset) = \mu(A),$$

and for $A_i \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$

$$\mu(A) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i \cap A)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i \cap A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$$

follows from Theorem 1.(ii).

Ad (ii): ‘ \leq ’ holds due to sub-additivity of $\bar{\mu}$, and ‘ \geq ’ is easily verified.

Consider the class

$$\bar{\mathfrak{A}} = \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_{\bar{\mu}} = \{A \in \mathfrak{P}(\Omega) : \forall B \in \mathfrak{P}(\Omega) : \bar{\mu}(B) = \bar{\mu}(B \cap A) + \bar{\mu}(B \cap A^c)\}$$

of so-called $\bar{\mu}$ -measurable sets.

We claim that

$$(iii) \quad \forall A_1, A_2 \in \bar{\mathfrak{A}} \forall B \in \mathfrak{P}(\Omega) : \quad \bar{\mu}(B) = \bar{\mu}(B \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)) + \bar{\mu}(B \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^c).$$

(iv) $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}$ algebra,

Ad (iii): We have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}(B) &= \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_1) + \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_1^c) \\ &= \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_1 \cap A_2) + \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_1 \cap A_2^c) + \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_1^c)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bar{\mu}(B \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^c) = \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_1^c \cup B \cap A_2^c) = \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_2^c \cap A_1) + \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_1^c).$$

Ad (iv): Clearly $\Omega \in \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$, $A \in \bar{\mathfrak{A}} \Rightarrow A^c \in \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$, and $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}$ is closed w.r.t. intersections by (iii).

We claim that

$$(v) \quad \forall A_1, A_2 \in \bar{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ disjoint } \forall B \in \mathfrak{P}(\Omega) : \quad \bar{\mu}(B \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)) = \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_1) + \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_2).$$

In fact, since $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$,

$$\bar{\mu}(B \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)) = \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_1) + \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_2 \cap A_1^c) = \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_1) + \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_2).$$

We claim that

(vi) $\forall A_1, A_2, \dots \in \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$ pairwise disjoint

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \bar{\mathfrak{A}} \quad \wedge \quad \bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(A_i).$$

Let $B \in \mathfrak{P}(\Omega)$. By (iv), (v), and monotonicity of $\bar{\mu}$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}(B) &= \bar{\mu}\left(B \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) + \bar{\mu}\left(B \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right)^c\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_i) + \bar{\mu}\left(B \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)^c\right).\end{aligned}$$

Use σ -subadditivity of $\bar{\mu}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}(B) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(B \cap A_i) + \bar{\mu}\left(B \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)^c\right) \\ &\geq \bar{\mu}\left(B \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) + \bar{\mu}\left(B \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)^c\right) \\ &\geq \bar{\mu}(B).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$. Take $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ to obtain σ -additivity of $\bar{\mu}|_{\bar{\mathfrak{A}}}$.

Conclusions:

- $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a σ -algebra, see (iv), (vi) and Theorem 1.1.(ii),
- $\mathfrak{A} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$ by (ii), hence $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}) \subset \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$.
- $\bar{\mu}|_{\bar{\mathfrak{A}}}$ is a measure with $\bar{\mu}|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mu$, see (vi) and (i).

Put $\mu^* = \bar{\mu}|_{\sigma(\mathfrak{A})}$. □

Remark 2. The extension from Theorem 3 is non-unique, in general. For instance, put $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$f(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad A \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $\mu = f|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ defines a pre-measure on the semi-algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{I}_1$ of intervals. Now we have

- (i) a unique extension of μ to a pre-measure $\hat{\mu}$ on \mathfrak{A}^+ , namely $\hat{\mu} = f|_{\mathfrak{A}^+}$,
- (ii) the outer measure $\bar{\mu} = f$,
- (iii) $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}) = \sigma(\mathfrak{A}^+) = \mathfrak{B}$.

For the counting measure μ_1 on \mathfrak{B} and for the measure $\mu_2 = f|_{\mathfrak{B}}$ according to the proof of Theorem 3 we have

$$\mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \wedge \mu_1|_{\mathfrak{A}^+} = \mu_2|_{\mathfrak{A}^+}.$$

Definition 3. $\mu : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ is called

- (i) σ -finite, if

$$\exists B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ pairwise disjoint : } \Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \wedge \forall i \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(B_i) < \infty,$$

- (ii) finite, if $\Omega \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$.

Theorem 4 (Uniqueness). For measures μ_1, μ_2 on \mathfrak{A} and $\mathfrak{A}_0 \subset \mathfrak{A}$ with

- (i) $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}_0) = \mathfrak{A}$ and \mathfrak{A}_0 is closed w.r.t. intersections,
- (ii) $\mu_1|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ is σ -finite,
- (iii) $\mu_1|_{\mathfrak{A}_0} = \mu_2|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$

we have

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2.$$

Proof. Take B_i according to Definition 3, with \mathfrak{A}_0 instead of \mathfrak{A} , and put

$$\mathfrak{D}_i = \{A \in \mathfrak{A} : \mu_1(A \cap B_i) = \mu_2(A \cap B_i)\}.$$

Obviously, \mathfrak{D}_i is a Dynkin class and $\mathfrak{A}_0 \subset \mathfrak{D}_i$. Theorem 1.2.(i) yields

$$\mathfrak{D}_i \subset \mathfrak{A} = \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_0) = \delta(\mathfrak{A}_0) \subset \mathfrak{D}_i.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{D}_i$ and for $A \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\mu_1(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(A \cap B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_2(A \cap B_i) = \mu_2(A).$$

□

Corollary 1. For every semi-algebra \mathfrak{A} and every pre-measure μ on \mathfrak{A} that is σ -finite

$$\exists \mu^* \text{ measure on } \sigma(\mathfrak{A}) : \mu^*|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mu.$$

Proof. Use Theorems 2, 3, and 4. □

Remark 3. Applications of Corollary 1:

- (i) For $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^k$ and the Lebesgue pre-measure λ_k on \mathfrak{I}_k we get the Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{B}_k . Notation for the latter: λ_k .
- (ii) In Example 1.(v) there exists a uniquely determined probability measure P on $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{P}(\{0, 1\})$ such that

$$P(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n \times \{0, 1\} \times \cdots) = \frac{|A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n|}{|\{0, 1\}^n|}$$

for $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{0, 1\}$. We will study the general construction of product measures in Section 8.

For a pre-measure μ on an algebra \mathfrak{A} the Carathéodory construction yields the extensions

$$(\Omega, \sigma(\mathfrak{A}), \bar{\mu}|_{\sigma(\mathfrak{A})}), \quad (\Omega, \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_{\bar{\mu}}, \bar{\mu}|_{\bar{\mathfrak{A}}_{\bar{\mu}}}). \quad (2)$$

To what extend is $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}_{\bar{\mu}}$ larger than $\sigma(\mathfrak{A})$?

Definition 4. A measure space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ is *complete* if

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\mu} \subset \mathfrak{A}$$

for

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\mu} = \{B \in \mathfrak{P}(\Omega) : \exists A \in \mathfrak{A} : B \subset A \wedge \mu(A) = 0\}.$$

Theorem 5. For a measure space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ define

$$\mathfrak{A}^{\mu} = \{A \cup N : A \in \mathfrak{A}, N \in \mathfrak{N}_{\mu}\}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mu}(A \cup N) = \mu(A), \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}, N \in \mathfrak{N}_{\mu}.$$

Then

- (i) $\tilde{\mu}$ is well defined and $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}^\mu, \tilde{\mu})$ is a complete measure space with $\tilde{\mu}|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mu$, called the *completion of $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$* ,
- (ii) for every complete measure space $(\Omega, \check{\mathfrak{A}}, \check{\mu})$ with $\check{\mathfrak{A}} \supset \mathfrak{A}$ and $\check{\mu}|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mu$ we have $\check{\mathfrak{A}} \supset \mathfrak{A}^\mu$ and $\check{\mu}|_{\mathfrak{A}^\mu} = \tilde{\mu}$.

Proof. See Gänssler, Stute (1977, p. 34) or Elstrodt (1996, p. 64). □

Remark 4. It is easy to verify that $(\Omega, \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\mu, \overline{\mu}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\mu})$ in (2) is complete. However, $(\Omega, \sigma(\mathfrak{A}), \overline{\mu}|_{\sigma(\mathfrak{A})})$ is not complete in general, see Example 3 below.

Theorem 6. If μ is a σ -finite pre-measure on an algebra \mathfrak{A} , then $(\Omega, \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\mu, \overline{\mu}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\mu})$ is the completion of $(\Omega, \sigma(\mathfrak{A}), \overline{\mu}|_{\sigma(\mathfrak{A})})$.

Proof. See Elstrodt (1996, p. 64). □

Example 3. Consider the completion $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathfrak{L}_k, \tilde{\lambda}_k)$ of $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathfrak{B}_k, \lambda_k)$. Here \mathfrak{L}_k is called the σ -algebra of *Lebesgue measurable sets* and $\tilde{\lambda}_k$ is called the Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{L}_k . Notation: $\lambda_k = \tilde{\lambda}_k$. We have

$$\mathfrak{B}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{L}_k,$$

hence $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathfrak{B}_k, \lambda_k)$ is not complete.

Proof: Assume $k = 1$ for simplicity. For the Cantor set $C \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$C \in \mathfrak{B}_1 \wedge \lambda_1(C) = 0 \wedge |C| = |\mathbb{R}|.$$

By Theorem 3.4, $|\mathfrak{B}_1| = |\mathbb{R}|$, but

$$|\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}| = |\mathfrak{P}(C)| \leq |\mathfrak{L}_k| \leq |\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}|.$$

We add that $\mathfrak{L}_k \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{R}^k)$, see Elstrodt (1996, §III.3).

5 Integration

For the proofs, see Analysis IV or Elstrodt (1996, Kap. VI).

Given: a measure space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$. Notation: $\mathfrak{S}_+ = \mathfrak{S}_+(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$ is the class of non-negative simple functions.

Definition 1. *Integral of $f \in \mathfrak{S}_+$ w.r.t. μ*

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot \mu(A_i)$$

if

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot 1_{A_i}$$

with $\alpha_i \geq 0$ and $A_i \in \mathfrak{A}$. (Note that the integral is well defined.)

Lemma 1. For $f, g \in \mathfrak{G}_+$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$

- (i) $\int (f + g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu$,
- (ii) $\int (cf) d\mu = c \cdot \int f d\mu$,
- (iii) $f \leq g \Rightarrow \int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu$ (*monotonicity*).

Notation: $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+ = \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$ is the class of nonnegative \mathfrak{A} - $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ -measurable functions.

Definition 2. *Integral* of $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+$ w.r.t. μ

$$\int f d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int g d\mu : g \in \mathfrak{G}_+ \wedge g \leq f \right\}.$$

Theorem 1 (Monotone convergence, Beppo Levi). Let $f_n \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f_n \leq f_{n+1}.$$

Then

$$\int \sup_n f_n d\mu = \sup_n \int f_n d\mu.$$

Remark 1. For every $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+$ there exists a sequence of functions $f_n \in \mathfrak{G}_+$ such that $f_n \uparrow f$, see Theorem 2.7.

Example 1. Consider

$$f_n = \frac{1}{n} \cdot 1_{[0,n]}$$

on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{B}, \lambda_1)$. Then

$$\int f_n d\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = 0.$$

Lemma 2. The conclusions from Lemma 1 remain valid on $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+$.

Theorem 2 (Fatou's Lemma). For every sequence $(f_n)_n$ in $\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+$

$$\int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu.$$

Proof. For $g_n = \inf_{k \geq n} f_k$ we have $g_n \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+$ and $g_n \uparrow \liminf_n f_n$. By Theorem 1 and Lemma 1.(iii)

$$\int \liminf_n f_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g_n d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu.$$

□

Theorem 3. Let $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+$. Then

$$\int f d\mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu(\{f > 0\}) = 0.$$

Definition 3. A property Π holds μ -almost everywhere (μ -a.e., a.e.), if

$$\exists A \in \mathfrak{A} : \{\omega \in \Omega : \Pi \text{ does not hold for } \omega\} \subset A \wedge \mu(A) = 0.$$

In case of a probability measure we say: μ -almost surely, μ -a.s., with probability one.

Notation: $\overline{\mathfrak{F}} = \overline{\mathfrak{F}}(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$ is the class of \mathfrak{A} - $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ -measurable functions.

Definition 4. $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ quasi- μ -integrable if

$$\int f_+ d\mu < \infty \quad \vee \quad \int f_- d\mu < \infty.$$

In this case: *integral* of f (w.r.t. μ)

$$\int f d\mu = \int f_+ d\mu - \int f_- d\mu.$$

$f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ μ -integrable if

$$\int f_+ d\mu < \infty \quad \wedge \quad \int f_- d\mu < \infty.$$

Theorem 4.

- (i) f μ -integrable $\Rightarrow \mu(\{|f| = \infty\}) = 0$,
- (ii) f μ -integrable $\wedge g \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}} \wedge f = g$ μ -a.e. $\Rightarrow g$ μ -integrable $\wedge \int f d\mu = \int g d\mu$.
- (iii) equivalent properties for $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$:
 - (a) f μ -integrable,
 - (b) $|f|$ μ -integrable,
 - (c) $\exists g : g$ μ -integrable $\wedge |f| \leq g$ μ -a.e.,
- (iv) for f and g μ -integrable and $c \in \mathbb{R}$
 - (a) $f+g$ well-defined μ -a.e. and μ -integrable with $\int (f+g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu$,
 - (b) $c \cdot f$ μ -integrable with $\int (cf) d\mu = c \cdot \int f d\mu$,
 - (c) $f \leq g$ μ -a.e. $\Rightarrow \int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu$.

Remark 2. An outlook. Consider an arbitrary set $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and a vector space $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ such that

$$f \in \mathfrak{F} \Rightarrow (|f| \in \mathfrak{F} \wedge \inf\{f, 1\} \in \mathfrak{F}).$$

A monotone linear mapping $I : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f, f_1, f_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{F} \wedge f_n \uparrow f \Rightarrow I(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(f_n)$$

is called an *abstract integral*. Note that

$$I(f) = \int f d\mu$$

defines an abstract integral on

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{f \in \mathfrak{Z}(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}) : f \text{ } \mu\text{-integrable}\} = \mathfrak{L}^1(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu).$$

Daniell-Stone-Theorem: for every abstract integral there exists a uniquely determined measure μ on $\mathfrak{A} = \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ such that

$$\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{L}^1(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu) \wedge \forall f \in \mathfrak{F} : I(f) = \int f d\mu.$$

See Bauer (1978, Satz 39.4) or Floret (1981).

Application: *Riesz representation theorem.* Here $\mathfrak{F} = C([0, 1])$ and $I : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear and monotone. Then I is an abstract integral, which follows from Dini's Theorem, see Floret (1981, p. 45). Hence there exists a uniquely determined measure μ on $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{B}([0, 1])$ such that

$$\forall f \in \mathfrak{F} : I(f) = \int f d\mu.$$

Theorem 5 (Dominated convergence, Lebesgue). Assume that

- (i) $f_n \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) $\exists g \text{ } \mu\text{-integrable } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : |f_n| \leq g \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.},$
- (iii) $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}$

Then f is μ -integrable and

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu.$$

Example 2. Consider

$$f_n = n \cdot 1_{]0, 1/n[}$$

on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{B}, \lambda_1)$. Then

$$\int f_n d\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = 0.$$

6 \mathfrak{L}^p -Spaces

Given: a measure space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Put $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{Z}(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$.

Definition 1.

$$\mathfrak{L}^p = \mathfrak{L}^p(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu) = \left\{ f \in \mathfrak{Z} : \int |f|^p d\mu < \infty \right\}.$$

In particular, for $p = 1$: *integrable functions* and $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}^1$, and for $p = 2$: *square-integrable functions*. Put

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{L}^p.$$

Theorem 1 (Hölder inequality). Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and let $f \in \mathfrak{L}^p, g \in \mathfrak{L}^q$. Then

$$\int |f \cdot g| d\mu \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|g\|_q.$$

In particular, for $p = q = 2$: *Cauchy-Schwarz inequality*.

Proof. See Analysis IV or Elstrodt (1996, §VI.1) as well as Theorem 5.3. \square

Theorem 2. \mathfrak{L}^p is a vector space and $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a semi-norm on \mathfrak{L}^p . Furthermore,

$$\|f\|_p = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f = 0 \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Proof. See Analysis IV or Elstrodt (1996, §VI.2). \square

Definition 2. Let $f, f_n \in \mathfrak{L}^p$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $(f_n)_n$ converges to f in \mathfrak{L}^p (in mean of order p) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_p = 0.$$

In particular, for $p = 1$: *convergence in mean*, and for $p = 2$: *mean-square convergence*. Notation:

$$f_n \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}^p} f.$$

Remark 1. Let $f, f_n \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall (define) that $(f_n)_n$ converges to f μ -a.e. if

$$\mu(A^c) = 0$$

for

$$A = \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \right\} = \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right\} \cap \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \right\} \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Notation:

$$f_n \xrightarrow{\mu\text{-a.e.}} f.$$

Lemma 1. Let $f, g, f_n \in \mathfrak{L}^p$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}^p} f$. Then

$$f_n \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}^p} g \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f = g \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Analogously for convergence almost everywhere.

Proof. For convergence in \mathfrak{L}^p : ‘ \Leftarrow ’ follows from Theorem 5.4.(ii). Use

$$\|f - g\|_p \leq \|f - f_n\|_p + \|f_n - g\|_p$$

to verify ‘ \Rightarrow ’.

For convergence almost everywhere: ‘ \Leftarrow ’ trivially holds. Use

$$\left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \right\} \cap \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = g \right\} \subset \{f = g\}$$

to verify ‘ \Rightarrow ’.

\square

Theorem 3 (Fischer-Riesz). Consider a sequence $(f_n)_n$ in \mathfrak{L}^p . Then

- (i) $(f_n)_n$ Cauchy sequence $\Rightarrow \exists f \in \mathfrak{L}^p : f_n \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}^p} f$ (completeness),
- (ii) $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}^p} f \Rightarrow \exists$ subsequence $(f_{n_k})_k : f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\mu\text{-a.e.}} f$.

Proof. Ad (i): Consider a Cauchy sequence $(f_n)_n$ and a subsequence $(f_{n_k})_k$ such that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \forall m \geq n_k : \|f_m - f_{n_k}\|_p \leq 2^{-k}.$$

For

$$g_k = f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k} \in \mathfrak{L}^p$$

we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\ell=1}^k |g_\ell| \right\|_p \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^k \|g_\ell\|_p \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^k 2^{-\ell} \leq 1.$$

Put $g = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |g_\ell| \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+$. By Theorem 5.1

$$\int g^p d\mu = \int \sup_k \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^k |g_\ell| \right)^p d\mu = \sup_k \int \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^k |g_\ell| \right)^p d\mu \leq 1. \quad (1)$$

Thus, in particular, $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |g_\ell|$ and $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} g_\ell$ converge μ -a.e., see Theorem 5.4.(i). Since

$$f_{n_{k+1}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k g_\ell + f_{n_1},$$

we have

$$f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k} \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

for some $f \in \mathfrak{F}$. Furthermore,

$$|f - f_{n_k}| \leq \sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} |g_\ell| \leq g \quad \mu\text{-a.e.},$$

so that, by Theorem 5.5 and (1),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int |f - f_{n_k}|^p d\mu = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_p = 0,$$

too. Finally, by Theorem 2, $f \in \mathfrak{L}^p$.

Ad (ii): Assume that

$$f_n \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}^p} f.$$

According to the proof of (i) there exists $\tilde{f} \in \mathfrak{L}^p$ and a subsequence $(f_{n_k})_k$ such that

$$f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\mu\text{-a.e.}} \tilde{f} \wedge f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}^p} \tilde{f}.$$

Use Lemma 1. □

Example 1. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu) = ([0, 1], \mathfrak{B}([0, 1]), \lambda_1|_{\mathfrak{B}([0, 1])})$. (By Remark 1.7.(ii) we have $\mathfrak{B}([0, 1]) \subset \mathfrak{B}_1$). Define

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= [0, 1] \\ A_2 &= [0, 1/2], \quad A_3 = [1/2, 1] \\ A_4 &= [0, 1/3], \quad A_5 = [1/3, 2/3], \quad A_6 = [2/3, 1] \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Put $f_n = 1_{A_n}$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - 0\|_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_p = 0 \quad (2)$$

but

$$\{(f_n)_n \text{ converges}\} = \emptyset.$$

Remark 2. Define

$$\mathfrak{L}^\infty = \mathfrak{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, P) = \{f \in \mathfrak{Z} : \exists c \in \mathbb{R}_+ : |f| \leq c \mu\text{-a.e.}\}$$

and

$$\|f\|_\infty = \inf\{c \in \mathbb{R}_+ : |f| \leq c \mu\text{-a.e.}\}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{L}^\infty.$$

$f \in \mathfrak{L}^\infty$ is called *essentially bounded* and $\|f\|_\infty$ is called the *essential supremum* of $|f|$. Use Theorem 4.1.(iii) to verify that

$$|f| \leq \|f\|_\infty \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

The definitions and results of this section, except (2), extend to the case $p = \infty$, where $q = 1$ in Theorem 1. In Theorem 3.(ii) we even have $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L}^\infty} f \Rightarrow f_n \xrightarrow{\mu\text{-a.e.}} f$.

Remark 3. Put

$$\mathfrak{N}^p = \{f \in \mathfrak{L}^p : f = 0 \mu\text{-a.e.}\}$$

Then the quotient space $L^p = \mathfrak{L}^p/\mathfrak{N}^p$ is a Banach space. In particular, for $p = 2$, L^2 is a Hilbert space, with semi-inner product on \mathfrak{L}^2 given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int f \cdot g \, d\mu, \quad f, g \in \mathfrak{L}^2.$$

Theorem 4. If μ is finite and $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ then

$$\mathfrak{L}^q \subset \mathfrak{L}^p$$

and

$$\|f\|_p \leq \mu(\Omega)^{1/p-1/q} \cdot \|f\|_q, \quad f \in \mathfrak{L}^q.$$

Proof. The result trivially holds for $q = \infty$. In the sequel, $q < \infty$. Use $|f|^p \leq 1 + |f|^q$ and Theorem 5.4.(iii) to obtain $\mathfrak{L}^q \subset \mathfrak{L}^p$. Put $r = q/p$ and define s by $1/r + 1/s = 1$. Theorem 1 yields

$$\int |f|^p \, d\mu \leq \left(\int |f|^{p \cdot r} \, d\mu \right)^{1/r} \cdot (\mu(\Omega))^{1/s}.$$

□

Example 2. Let $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$. With respect to the counting measure on $\mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{N})$, $\mathfrak{L}^p \subset \mathfrak{L}^q$. With respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{B}_k neither $\mathfrak{L}^q \subset \mathfrak{L}^p$ nor $\mathfrak{L}^p \subset \mathfrak{L}^q$.