

2 Strong Law of Large Numbers

Definition 1. $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) iff $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is independent and $\forall n, k : X_n \stackrel{d}{=} X_k$.

Throughout this section: $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ independent (but only i.i.d. if explicitly noted). Consider

$$C = \{(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ converges in } \mathbb{R}\}.$$

By Remark 1.1, $P(C) \in \{0, 1\}$.

First we provide sufficient conditions for $P(C) = 1$ to hold.

Theorem 1 (Kolmogorov's inequality). Assume that $X_i \in \mathfrak{L}^2$ and $E X_i = 0$ for all i . Then

$$P\left(\left\{\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \text{Var}(S_n).$$

Proof. Let $1 \leq k \leq n$. We show that

$$\forall B \in \sigma(\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}) : \int_B S_k^2 dP \leq \int_B S_n^2 dP. \quad (1)$$

Let $B \in \sigma(\{X_1, \dots, X_k\})$. We start with $S_n^2 = (S_k + S_n - S_k)^2$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} E 1_B S_n^2 &= E 1_B S_k^2 + 2 E[(1_B S_k) \cdot (S_n - S_k)] + E 1_B (S_n - S_k)^2 \\ &\geq E 1_B S_k^2 + 2 E[(1_B S_k) \cdot (S_n - S_k)]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it follows easily from Theorem III.5.4 that $1_B S_k$ and $S_n - S_k$ are independent. Hence Theorem III.5.6 yields

$$E[(1_B S_k) \cdot (S_n - S_k)] = E(1_B \cdot S_k) \cdot E(S_n - S_k) = 0,$$

and thereby

$$E(1_B \cdot S_n^2) \geq E(1_B \cdot S_k^2).$$

This completes the proof of (1). For $k \leq n$, define

$$A_k = \left\{ |S_l| < \varepsilon, \forall l < k \wedge |S_k| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then $A_k \in \sigma(\{X_1, \dots, X_k\})$, the A_k are disjoint and $\sup_{k \leq n} |S_k| > \varepsilon$ iff one A_k happens; hence with the help of (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \cdot P\left(\left\{\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right) &= \varepsilon^2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n P(A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A_k} S_k^2 dP \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A_k} S_n^2 dP \leq \int_{\Omega} S_n^2 dP \\ &= \text{Var}(S_n). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2. If $X_n \in \mathcal{L}^2$ and $E(X_n) = 0$ for all n , and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(X_i) < \infty,$$

then S_n converges a.s..

Proof. S_n converges iff it is Cauchy; hence, for

$$M := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |S_{n+k} - S_n|,$$

S_n converges iff $M = 0$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $M > \varepsilon$ implies that for one $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\sup_{1 \leq k \leq r} |S_{n+k} - S_n| > \varepsilon$. Hence,

$$P(\{M > \varepsilon\}) \leq \sup_r P\left(\left\{\sup_{1 \leq k \leq r} |S_{n+k} - S_n| > \varepsilon\right\}\right),$$

and Kolmogorov's inequality yields

$$P\left(\left\{\sup_{1 \leq k \leq r} |S_{n+k} - S_n| > \varepsilon\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+r} \text{Var}(X_i) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(X_i).$$

Since n was arbitrary, we get $P(\{M > \varepsilon\}) = 0$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e., $M = 0$ a.s.. \square

Example 1. Let $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be i.i.d. with $EY_n = 0$, $EY_n^2 < \infty$, and let b_n such that $1/b_n^2$ is summable. Then

$$\sum_n \text{Var}(Y_n/b_n) < \infty,$$

hence $\sum_n Y_n/b_n$ converges.

In the sequel, $0 < a_n \uparrow \infty$. We now study convergence almost surely of $(S_n/a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma 1 (Kronecker's Lemma). Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_i}{a_i}$ converges, $\frac{1}{a_n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Consider \mathbb{N} with the counting measure γ , and define

$$f_n(i) := \frac{x_i}{a_i} \cdot \frac{a_i}{a_n} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i \leq n}.$$

Then $f_n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise, and since a_n is monotone, $|f_n(i)| \leq \frac{x_i}{a_i}$, which is γ -integrable by assumption. Hence, by Lebesgue's theorem,

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \cdot \sum_{i \leq n} x_i = \int_{\mathbb{N}} f_n d\gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

\square

Theorem 3 (Strong Law of Large Numbers, \mathfrak{L}^2 Case). If $X_n \in \mathfrak{L}^2$ for all n , and

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: X_n \in \mathfrak{L}^2 \quad \wedge \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_i^2} \cdot \text{Var}(X_i) < \infty \quad (2)$$

then

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}(X_i)) \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} 0.$$

Proof. Put $Y_n = 1/a_n \cdot (X_n - \mathbb{E}(X_n))$. Then $\mathbb{E}(Y_n) = 0$ and $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is independent. Moreover,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(Y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_i^2} \cdot \text{Var}(X_i) < \infty.$$

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i$ converges P -a.s. due to Theorem 2. Apply Lemma 1. \square

Remark 1. 1. Assume that the variances $\text{Var}(X_n)$ are bounded and that $\varepsilon > 0$. Then it follows (with $a_n = n^{1/2}(\log n)^{1/2+\varepsilon}$) in particular that

$$n^{-1/2}(\log n)^{-1/2-\varepsilon} \cdot \left[\sum_{i \leq n} X_i - \mathbb{E} \sum_{i \leq n} X_i \right] \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} 0.$$

This means that for the ‘cumulative effect’ $\sum_{i \leq n} X_i$ the deviation from mean ‘typically’ grows slower than $n^{1/2}(\log n)^{1/2+\varepsilon}$. (This will be refined by the CLT.) The independence of the X_n is of course crucial for this; if $X_1 = X_2 = \dots$, we have a growth rate of n .

2. If additionally X_n is an i.i.d. sequence with $X_1 \in \mathfrak{L}^2$, we may choose $a_n = n$ and derive that

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} \mathbb{E}(X_1).$$

In fact, this conclusion already holds if $X_1 \in \mathfrak{L}^1$, see Theorem 4 below.

Example 2. Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be i.i.d. with $P_{X_1} = p \cdot \delta_1 + (1-p) \cdot \delta_{-1}$. Due to the Strong Law of Large Numbers

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot S_n \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} 2p - 1.$$

Moreover, if $p = 1/2$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n} \cdot (\log n)^{1/2+\varepsilon}} \cdot S_n \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} 0.$$

Precise description of the fluctuation of $S_n(\omega)$ for P -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$: Law of the Iterated Logarithm.

Lemma 2. Let $U_i, V_i, W \in \mathfrak{F}(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(\{U_i \neq V_i\}) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} W \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n V_i \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} W.$$

Proof. The Borel-Cantelli Lemma implies $P(\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \{U_i \neq V_i\}) = 0$. □

Lemma 3. For $X \in \mathfrak{Z}_+(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$

$$E(X) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(\{X > k\}) \leq E(X) + 1.$$

(Cf. Corollary II.8.2.)

Proof. We have

$$E(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\{k-1 < X \leq k\}} X dP,$$

and therefore

$$E(X) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \cdot P(\{k-1 < X \leq k\}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(\{X > k\})$$

as well as

$$E(X) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot P(\{k-1 < X \leq k\}) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(\{X > k\}) - 1.$$

□

Theorem 4 (Strong Law of Large Numbers, i.i.d. Case). Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be i.i.d. Then

$$\exists Z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}) : \frac{1}{n} \cdot S_n \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} Z \Leftrightarrow X_1 \in \mathfrak{L}^1,$$

in which case $Z = E(X_1)$ P -a.s.

Proof. ‘ \Rightarrow ’: From the assumption we derive

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot X_n = \frac{1}{n} \cdot S_n - \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot S_{n-1} \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} 0.$$

Hence, for the independent events $A_n = \{|X_n| > n\}$ we have

$$P(\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) = 0.$$

The Borel-Cantelli Lemma implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(A_n)}_{=P(|X_1| > n)} < \infty.$$

Use Lemma 3 to obtain $E(|X_1|) < \infty$.

‘ \Leftarrow ’: Consider the truncated random variables

$$Y_n = \begin{cases} X_n & \text{if } |X_n| < n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will first show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} \cdot \text{Var}(Y_i) < \infty. \quad (3)$$

To this end, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y_i) &\leq \text{E}(Y_i^2) = \sum_{k=1}^i \text{E}[Y_i^2 \cdot 1_{[k-1, k[}(|Y_i|)]] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^i \text{E}[X_i^2 \cdot 1_{[k-1, k[}(|X_i|)]] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^i k^2 \cdot P(\{k-1 \leq |X_1| < k\}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} \cdot \text{Var}(Y_i) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \cdot P(\{k-1 \leq |X_1| < k\}) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \cdot P(\{k-1 \leq |X_1| < k\}) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot (\text{E}(|X_1|) + 1) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

cf. the proof of Lemma 3. (3) follows. Theorem 3 now asserts that

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \text{E}(Y_i)) \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} 0.$$

Furthermore, Y_n is easily seen to be uniformly integrable, and thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{E}(Y_n) = \text{E}(X_1). \quad (4)$$

Due to (4),

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} \text{E}(X_1).$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(\{X_i \neq Y_i\}) < \infty, \quad (5)$$

since, by Lemma 3,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(\{X_i \neq Y_i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(\{|X_i| \geq i\}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(\{|X_1| > i\}) \leq \text{E}(|X_1|) + 1 < \infty.$$

Finally, by Lemma 2 and (5)

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} \mathbb{E}(X_1) .$$

□

What happens if X_n is not integrable?

Theorem 5. Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be i.i.d..

(i) If $\mathbb{E}(X_1^-) < \infty \wedge \mathbb{E}(X_1^+) = \infty$ then

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot S_n \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} \infty .$$

(ii) If $\mathbb{E}(|X_1|) = \infty$ then

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \cdot S_n \right| = \infty \text{ } P\text{-a.s.}$$

Proof. (i) follows from Theorem 4, and (ii) is an application of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, see Gänsler, Stute (1977, p. 131). □

Remark 2. Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be i.i.d. with $\mu = P_{X_1}$ and corresponding distribution function $F = F_{X_1}$. Suppose that μ is unknown, but observations $X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)$ are available for ‘estimation of μ ’.

Fix $x \in \mathfrak{R}$. Due to Theorem 4, we have

$$F_n(x, \omega) := \frac{\#\{i \leq n : X_i(\omega) \leq x\}}{n} \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} F(x) .$$

$F_n(x, \omega)$ is called the *empirical distribution function* $F_n(\cdot, \omega)$; analogously, one can define the *empirical distribution*

$$\mu_n(A, \omega) := \frac{\#\{i \leq n : X_i(\omega) \in A\}}{n} .$$

To be precise, we know about the empirical distribution function that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \exists A \in \mathfrak{A} : P(A) = 1 \wedge \left(\forall \omega \in A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x, \omega) = F(x) \right) .$$

Therefore

$$\exists A \in \mathfrak{A} : P(A) = 1 \wedge \left(\forall q \in \mathbb{Q} \forall \omega \in A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(q, \omega) = F(q) \right) ,$$

which easily implies

$$\exists A \in \mathfrak{A} : P(A) = 1 \wedge \left(\forall \omega \in A : \mu_n(\cdot, \omega) \xrightarrow{w} \mu \right) ,$$

see p. 63, and Theorem III.3.2. This result can be strengthened to the *Glivenko-Cantelli Theorem*

$$\exists A \in \mathfrak{A} : P(A) = 1 \wedge \left(\forall \omega \in A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(x, \omega) - F(x)| = 0 \right) ,$$

see Billingsley (1979, Theorem 20.6). (From Übung9.2, this result immediately follows for continuous F .)