

## 8 Kernels and Product Measures

Given: measurable spaces  $(\Omega_1, \mathfrak{A}_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathfrak{A}_2)$ .

Motivation: two-stage experiment. Output  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  of the first stage determines probabilistic model for the second stage. Natural idea: Describe „conditional probabilities”, try to build a model up from this.

**Definition 1.**  $K : \Omega_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is a (Markov) kernel (from  $(\Omega_1, \mathfrak{A}_1)$  to  $(\Omega_2, \mathfrak{A}_2)$ ), iff

- (i)  $K(\omega_1, \cdot)$  is a (probability) measure on  $\mathfrak{A}_2$  for every  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ ,
- (ii)  $K(\cdot, A_2)$  is  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ - $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ -measurable for every  $A_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2$ .

$K$  is called  $\sigma$ -finite kernel iff, additionally, there are  $B_i \in \mathfrak{A}_2$  disjoint with

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \Omega_2 \quad \wedge \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} : \sup_{\omega_1 \in \Omega_1} K(\omega_1, A_{2,i}) < \infty.$$

**Example 1.** (i) Choose one out of  $n$  (unbalanced) coins and throw it once. Parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in [0, 1]$ .

Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad \mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{P}(\Omega_1)$$

and define

$$\mu(\{i\}) = a_i, \quad i \in \Omega_1,$$

to be the probability of choosing the  $i$ -th coin. Moreover, let

$$\Omega_2 = \{H, T\}, \quad \mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{P}(\Omega_2)$$

and define

$$K(i, \{H\}) = b_i, \quad K(i, \{T\}) = 1 - b_i$$

so  $K(i, \{H\})$  is probability obtaining H when throwing the  $i$ -th coin. Formal description:

$$K(i, A_2) = b_i \cdot \delta_H(A_2) + (1 - b_i) \cdot \delta_T(A_2), \quad A_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2.$$

- (ii) (Extremal case 1) Model for the second stage not influenced by output of the first stage, i.e., for a (probability) measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_2$

$$\forall \omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : K(\omega_1, \cdot) = \nu.$$

In Example 1i this holds if  $b_1 = \dots = b_n$ .

- (iii) (Extremal case 2) Output of the first stage determines the output of the second stage, i.e., for a  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ - $\mathfrak{A}_2$ -measurable mapping  $f : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$

$$\forall \omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : K(\omega_1, \cdot) = \delta_{f(\omega_1)}.$$

In Example 1i this holds if  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Have: Model for „conditional probabilities” (kernel  $K$ ), Model for the initial random experiment (probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega_1$ ). How to build a model for the compound experiment (i.e., probability measure on  $\Omega_2$ )?

Reasonable, and assumed in the sequel,

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \quad \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{A}_2.$$

Question: How to define  $P$ ?

**Example 2.** In Example 1i, a reasonable requirement for  $P$  is

$$P(\{i\} \times \Omega_2) = a_i = K(a_i, \Omega_2), \quad P(\{i\} \times \{H\}) = a_i \cdot b_i = K(i, \{A\})a_i$$

for every  $i \in \Omega_1$ . Consequently, for  $A_2 \subset \Omega_2$

$$P(\{i\} \times A_2) = K(i, A_2) \cdot a_i$$

and for  $A \subset \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} P(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^n P(\{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A : \omega_1 = i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(\{i\} \times \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : (i, \omega_2) \in A\}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n K(i, \{(i, \omega_2) \in A\}) \cdot a_i = \int_{\Omega_1} K(i, \{(i, \omega_2) \in A\}) \mu(di). \end{aligned}$$

May we generally use the right-hand side integral for the definition of  $P$ ?

**Lemma 1.** Let  $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$ . Then, for  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , the  $\omega_1$ -section

$$f(\omega_1, \cdot) : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

of  $f$  is  $\mathfrak{A}_2$ - $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ -measurable, and for  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$  the  $\omega_2$ -section

$$f(\cdot, \omega_2) : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

of  $f$  is  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ - $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ -measurable.

*Proof.* In the case of an  $\omega_1$ -section. Fix  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ . Then  $\iota_{\omega_1} \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 : \omega_2 \mapsto (\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is  $\mathfrak{A}_2$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -measurable due to Corollary 3.1.(i); by Theorem 2.1,  $f(\omega_1, \cdot) = f \circ \iota_{\omega_1}$  is as well.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** In particular, for  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $f = 1_A$

$$f(\omega_1, \cdot) = 1_A(\omega_1, \cdot) = 1_{A_{\omega_1}}$$

where

$$A_{\omega_1} = \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A\}$$

poor notation is the  $\omega_1$ -section of  $A$ . By Lemma 1

$$\forall \omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : A_{\omega_1} \in \mathfrak{A}_2.$$

Analogously for the  $\omega_2$ -section

$$A_{\omega_2} = \{\omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A\}$$

of  $A$ .

Given:

- a  $\sigma$ -finite kernel  $K$  from  $(\Omega_1, \mathfrak{A}_1)$  to  $(\Omega_2, \mathfrak{A}_2)$ ,
- a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} g : \Omega_1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\} \\ \omega_1 &\mapsto \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \end{aligned}$$

is  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ - $\mathfrak{B}([0, \infty])$ -measurable.

*Proof. Case 1:*

$$\forall \omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : K(\omega_1, \Omega_2) < \infty \quad (1)$$

Put  $\mathfrak{F} = \{f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+ : \text{statement holds for } f\}$

*Step 1:*

$$\forall A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2 : 1_{A_1 \times A_2} \in \mathfrak{F}$$

Indeed,

$$\int_{\Omega_2} \underbrace{1_{A_1 \times A_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2)}_{=1_{A_1}(\omega_1) 1_{A_2}(\omega_2)} K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) = \underbrace{1_{A_1}(\omega_1)}_{\mathfrak{A}_1\text{-}\mathfrak{B}\text{-mb}} K(\omega_1, A_2) \quad (2)$$

*Step 2:*

$$\forall A \in \mathfrak{A} : 1_A \in \mathfrak{F}$$

Proof: Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D} &= \{A \in \mathfrak{A} : 1_A \in \mathfrak{F}\} \\ \mathfrak{E} &= \{A_1 \times A_2 : A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2\} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathfrak{E} \subset \mathfrak{D}$  by Claim 1,  $\mathfrak{E}$  closed w.r.t. intersections and  $\sigma(\mathfrak{E}) = \mathfrak{A}$

Easy to verify, using (1):  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a Dynkin class

Theorem 1.2.(i) yields:  $\mathfrak{A} = \sigma(\mathfrak{E}) = \delta(\mathfrak{E}) \subset \mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{A}$

*Step 3:*

$$f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{F} \wedge \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \Rightarrow \alpha f_1 + f_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$$

Proof: Apply Lemma 5.2, Theorem 2.6

*Step 4:*

$$f_n \in \mathfrak{F} \wedge f_n \uparrow f \Rightarrow f \in \mathfrak{F}$$

Proof: Monotone convergence, Theorem 2.5.(iii).

*Step 5:* Theorem 2.7 implies  $\mathfrak{F} = \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+$ .

**Case 2: General Case.**

Choose  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A}_2$  pairwise disjoint, such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \Omega_2 \quad \wedge \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} : \sup_{\omega_1 \in \Omega_1} K(\omega_1, A_{2,i}) < \infty$$

Define  $K_i(\omega_1, \cdot) = K(\omega_1, \cdot \cap B_i) = 1_{B_i} \cdot K(\omega_1, \cdot)$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, \omega_2) &\stackrel{\text{Mon.} \equiv \text{Conv.}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_2} 1_{B_i}(\omega_2) f(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Thrm 7.2}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) K_i(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\forall \omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : K_i(\omega_1, \Omega_2) < \infty$ ,

we have  $\int_{\Omega_2} f(\cdot, \omega_2) K_i(\cdot, d\omega_2)$  is  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ - $\mathfrak{B}([0, \infty])$ -measurable.

Apply Theorem 2.6, 2.5

□

**Theorem 1.** In the above situation,

$$\begin{aligned} \exists_1 \text{ measure } \nu \text{ on } \mathfrak{A} \text{ such that } \forall A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \quad \forall A_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2 : \\ \nu(A_1 \times A_2) = \int_{A_1} K(\omega_1, A_2) \mu(d\omega_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Moreover,  $\nu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, and

$$\forall A \in \mathfrak{A} : \quad \nu(A) = \int_{\Omega_1} K(\omega_1, A_{\omega_1}) \mu(d\omega_1). \quad (4)$$

If  $\mu$  is a probability measure and  $K$  is a Markov kernel then  $\nu$  is a probability measure, too.

**Notation:**  $\nu = \mu \times K$ .

*Proof. Uniqueness:*  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \{A_1 \times A_2 : A_i \in \mathfrak{A}_i\}$  is a  $\cap$ -closed generator of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ; apply Theorem 4.3.

**Existence:** Let  $A \in \mathfrak{A}, \omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ . Then

$$\omega_2 \mapsto K(\omega_1, A_{\omega_1}) = \int_{\Omega_2} \underbrace{1_{A_{\omega_1}}(\omega_2)}_{=1_A(\omega_1, \omega_2)} K(\omega_1, d\omega_2)$$

is measurable by Lemma 8.2; hence (4) is well-defined. Moreover,  $\nu$  defined by (2) is additive, and if  $A^{(n)} \uparrow A, A^{(n)}, A \in \mathfrak{A}$ , then  $A_{\omega_1}^{(n)} \uparrow A_{\omega_1}$  for every  $\omega_1$ , thus  $K(\omega_1, A_{\omega_1}^{(n)}) \uparrow K(\omega_1, A_{\omega_1})$ , and by monotone convergence,  $\nu(A_n) \uparrow \nu(A)$ . Thus,  $\nu$  is  $\sigma$ -continuous from below, hence a measure.

By virtue of (2),  $\nu$  satisfies (3). By assumption there are  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A}_1$  pairwise disjoint, such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \Omega_1 \quad \wedge \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A_i) < \infty$$

and  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{A}_2$  pairwise disjoint, such that

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j = \Omega_2 \quad \wedge \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} : \sup_{\omega_1 \in \Omega_1} K(\omega_1, B_j) < \infty$$

Thus  $A_i \times B_j, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , pairwise disjoint and  $\bigcup_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} A_i \times B_j = \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \times K)(A_i \times B_j) &= \int_{A_i} K(\omega_1, B_j) \mu(d\omega_1) \\ &\leq \sup_{\omega_1 \in \Omega_1} K(\omega_1, A_j) \mu(B_i) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $\mu \times K$  ist  $\sigma$ -finite. □

**Example 3.** In Example 2 we have  $P = \mu \times K$ .

**Remark 2.** Particular case of Theorem 1 with

$$\mu = \mu_1, \quad \forall \omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : K(\omega_1, \cdot) = \mu_2$$

for  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\mu_i$  on  $(\Omega_i, \mathfrak{A}_i)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \exists_1 \text{ measure } (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \text{ on } \mathfrak{A} \quad \forall A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \quad \forall A_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2 : \\ (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu_1(A_1) \cdot \mu_2(A_2). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Moreover,  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and satisfies

$$\forall A \in \mathfrak{A} : \quad (\mu_1 \times \mu_2)(A) = \int_{\Omega_1} \mu_2(A_{\omega_1}) \mu(d\omega_1). \tag{6}$$

We add that  $\sigma$ -finiteness is used for the definition (6) and the uniqueness in (5). In general, we only have existence of a measure  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  with (5). See Elstrodt (1996, §V.1).

**Definition 2.**  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$  is called the *product measure* corresponding to  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , and  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$  is called the *product measure space* corresponding to  $(\Omega_1, \mathfrak{A}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathfrak{A}_2, \mu_2)$ .

**Example 4.**

- (i) In Example 2 with  $b = b_1 = \dots = b_n$  and  $\nu = b \cdot \delta_H + (1 - b) \cdot \delta_T$  we have  $P = \mu \times \nu$ .

(ii) For countable spaces  $\Omega_i$  and  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_i = \mathfrak{P}(\Omega_i)$  we get

$$\mu_1 \times \mu_2(A) = \sum_{\omega_1 \in \Omega_1} \mu_2(A_{\omega_1}) \cdot \mu_1(\{\omega_1\}), \quad A \subset \Omega.$$

In particular, for uniform distributions  $\mu_i$  on finite spaces,  $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$  is the uniform distribution on  $\Omega$ . Cf. Example 3.1 in the case  $n = 2$ .

(iii) The multi-dimensional Lebesgue measure is a product measure. Namely, for  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{J}_k, A_2 \in \mathfrak{J}_\ell$  we have

$$\lambda_{k+\ell}(A_1 \times A_2) = \lambda_k(A_1) \cdot \lambda_\ell(A_2) = (\lambda_k \times \lambda_\ell)(A_1 \times A_2),$$

see Example 4.1.(i). Corollary 4.1 yields

$$\lambda_{k+\ell} = \lambda_k \times \lambda_\ell.$$

From (6) we get

$$\lambda_{k+\ell}(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \lambda_\ell(A_{\omega_1}) \lambda_k(d\omega_1), \quad A \in \mathfrak{B}_{k+\ell},$$

cf. *Cavalieri's Principle*.

**Theorem 2 (Fubini's Theorem).**

(i) For  $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{J}}_+(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$

$$\int_{\Omega} f d(\mu \times K) = \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \mu(d\omega_1).$$

(ii) For  $f$   $(\mu \times K)$ -integrable and

$$A_1 = \{\omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : f(\omega_1, \cdot) K(\omega_1, \cdot)\text{-integrable}\}$$

we have

(a)  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$  and  $\mu(A_1^c) = 0$ ,

(b)  $\omega_1 \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{A_1} \cdot \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \cdot) dK(\omega_1, \cdot)$  is integrable w.r.t.  $\mu$ ,

(c)

$$\int_{\Omega} f d(\mu \times K) = \int_{A_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \mu(d\omega_1).$$

*Proof.* Ad (i): Algebraic induction: For  $f = \mathbf{1}_A$ , this is true by definition; both sides are linear in  $f$ , hence the claim is true for  $f \in \Sigma_+$ , and if  $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{J}}_+$ , there are  $f_n \in \Sigma_+$  with  $f_n \uparrow f$ . Now for each fixed  $\omega_1$ ,  $f_n(\omega_1, \cdot) \uparrow f$ , hence by monotone convergence,

$$\int_{\Omega_2} f_n(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \uparrow \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2),$$

and again by monotone convergence

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f_n(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \uparrow \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2).$$

Ad (ii): By (i), we have, for  $f_{\pm} = \max 0, \pm f$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} f_{\pm} d(\mu \times K) = \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f_{\pm}(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \mu(d\omega_1).$$

Then

$$A_1^{\pm} := \left\{ \omega_1 : \int_{\Omega_2} f_{\pm}(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) < \infty \right\}$$

is in  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  by Lemma 8.2, and  $A_1 = A^+ \cap A^-$ . Moreover,  $\mu((A^{\pm})^c) < \infty$  by Theorem 5.4 and part (i). Part (b) and (c) follow immediately, since they are true for  $f_{\pm}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.** For brevity, we write

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \mu(d\omega_1) = \int_{A_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \mu(d\omega_1),$$

if  $f$  is  $(\mu \times K)$ -integrable. For  $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$

$$f \text{ is } (\mu \times K)\text{-integrable} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} |f|(\omega_1, \omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \mu(d\omega_1) < \infty.$$

**Corollary 1 (Fubini's Theorem).** For  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\mu_i$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_i$  and a  $(\mu_1 \times \mu_2)$ -integrable function  $f$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) &= \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mu_2(d\omega_2) \mu_1(d\omega_1) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mu_1(d\omega_1) \mu_2(d\omega_2). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Theorem 2 yields the first equality. For the second equality, put  $\tilde{f}(\omega_2, \omega_1) = f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  and note that  $\int_{\Omega} f d(\mu_1 \times \mu_2) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{f} d(\mu_2 \times \mu_1)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** For every measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$ , every  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and every  $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{F}}_+(\Omega, \mathfrak{A})$

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\mu = \int_{]0, \infty[} \mu(\{f > x\}) \lambda_1(dx).$$

*Proof.* Übung 6.2.  $\square$

Now we construct a stochastic model for a series of experiments, where the outputs of the first  $i - 1$  stages determine the model for the  $i$ th stage. We simply iterate our two-step procedure.

Given: measurable spaces  $(\Omega_i, \mathfrak{A}_i)$  for  $i \in I$ , where  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$  or  $I = \mathbb{N}$ . Put

$$(\Omega'_i, \mathfrak{A}'_i) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^i \Omega_j, \bigotimes_{j=1}^i \mathfrak{A}_j \right),$$

and note that

$$\prod_{j=1}^i \Omega_j = \Omega'_{i-1} \times \Omega_i \quad \wedge \quad \bigotimes_{j=1}^i \mathfrak{A}_j = \mathfrak{A}'_{i-1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_i$$

for  $i \in I \setminus \{1\}$ . Furthermore, let

$$\Omega = \prod_{i \in I} \Omega_i, \quad \mathfrak{A} = \bigotimes_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i. \quad (7)$$

Given:

- $\sigma$ -finite kernels  $K_i$  from  $(\Omega'_{i-1}, \mathfrak{A}'_{i-1})$  to  $(\Omega_i, \mathfrak{A}_i)$  for  $i \in I \setminus \{1\}$ ,
- a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ .

**Theorem 3.** For  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists_1 \text{ measure } \nu \text{ on } \mathfrak{A} \quad \forall A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \dots \forall A_n \in \mathfrak{A}_n : \\ & \nu(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) \\ & = \int_{A_1} \dots \int_{A_{n-1}} K_n((\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}), A_n) K_{n-1}((\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-2}), d\omega_{n-1}) \dots \mu(d\omega_1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\nu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and for  $f$   $\nu$ -integrable (the short version)

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\nu = \int_{\Omega_1} \dots \int_{\Omega_n} f(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) K_n((\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}), d\omega_n) \dots \mu(d\omega_1). \quad (8)$$

Notation:  $\nu = \mu \times K_2 \times \dots \times K_n$ .

*Proof.* Induction on  $n$ , using Theorems 1 and 2. □

**Remark 4.** Particular case of Theorem 3 with

$$\mu = \mu_1, \quad \forall i \in I \setminus \{1\} \quad \forall \omega'_{i-1} \in \Omega'_{i-1} : K_i(\omega'_{i-1}, \cdot) = \mu_i \quad (9)$$

for  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\mu_i$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists_1 \text{ measure } \mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_n \text{ on } \mathfrak{A} \quad \forall A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \dots \forall A_n \in \mathfrak{A}_n : \\ & \mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_n(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_n(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_n$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and for every  $\mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_n$ -integrable function  $f$

$$\int_{\Omega} f d(\mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_n) = \int_{\Omega_1} \dots \int_{\Omega_n} f(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \mu_n(d\omega_n) \dots d\mu_1(\omega_1).$$

**Definition 3.**  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_n$  is called the *product measure* corresponding to  $\mu_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$  is called the *product measure space* corresponding to  $(\Omega_i, \mathfrak{A}_i, \mu_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .



**Example 5.**

(i) For uniform distributions  $\mu_i$  on finite spaces  $\Omega_i$ ,  $\mu_1 \times \cdots \times \mu_n$  is the uniform distribution on  $\Omega$ . Cf. Example 3.1 in the case  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii)

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \lambda_1.$$

**Theorem 4 (Ionescu-Tulcea).** Assume that  $\mu$  is a probability measure and that  $K_i$  are Markov kernels for  $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . Then, for  $I = \mathbb{N}$ ,

$\exists$  probability measure  $P$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \forall A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \dots \forall A_n \in \mathfrak{A}_n$  :

$$P\left(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n \times \prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i\right) = (\mu \times K_2 \times \cdots \times K_n)(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n). \quad (10)$$

*Proof. Uniqueness:* By (10),  $P$  is uniquely determined on the class of measurable rectangles. Apply Theorem 4.4.

**Existence:** On the semi-algebra of measurable rectangles we define  $P$  by (10). By (8), one easily checks that this is well-defined and, by definition, additive. By Theorem 4.2,  $P$  is extended uniquely to a content on the algebra of cylinder sets, still denoted by  $P$ . Obviously,

$$P\left(A \times \prod_{j>n} \Omega_j\right) = (\mu \times K_2 \cdots \times K_n)(A), \quad A \in \bigotimes_{j \leq n} \mathfrak{A}_j.$$

We claim that this content is  $\sigma$ -additive; then, by Corollary 4.1, there is a unique extension to  $\mathfrak{A}$ . By Theorem 4.1, it suffices to show that  $P$  is  $\sigma$ -continuous at  $\emptyset$ . So let  $A_n$  be cylinder sets,  $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$ , and assume  $\lim_n P(A_n) > 0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$A_n = \{(\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} : (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in B_n\}$$

for some  $B_n$ . Set  $\omega^i = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_i)$ . By (8) and Theorem 2,

$$P(A_n) = \int_{\Omega_1} \underbrace{\int_{\Omega_2} \cdots \int_{\Omega_n} \mathbf{1}_{B_n}(\omega^n) K_n(\omega^{n-1}, d\omega_n) \dots K_1(\omega_1, d\omega_2)}_{=: f_n^{(1)}(\omega_1)} d\mu(\omega_1) = \int_{\Omega_1} f_n^{(1)}(\omega_1) d\mu(\omega_1).$$

Since  $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$ ,  $B_{n+1} \subseteq B_n \times \Omega$ , and hence

$$\mathbf{1}_{B_{n+1}}(\omega^{n+1}) \leq \mathbf{1}_{B_n}(\omega^n),$$

thus, the monotonicity of integrals show that  $f_n^{(1)}$  is monotonically decreasing; set  $f^{(1)} = \lim_n f_n^{(1)}$ . By Lebesgue's theorem (1 is a majorant),

$$0 < \lim_n P(A_n) = \int_{\Omega_1} f(\omega_1) d\mu(\omega_1).$$

In particular, there is  $\hat{\omega}_1$  with  $f^{(1)}(\hat{\omega}_1) > 0$ . In particular,  $\omega_1 \in B_1$ .

Next,  $K_2(\hat{\omega}_1, \cdot)$  is a probability measure on  $\Omega_2$ , and for  $n > 2$  we define

$$f_n^{(2)}(\omega_2) := \int_{\Omega_3} \cdots \int_{\Omega_n} \mathbf{1}_{B_n}(\hat{\omega}_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n) K_n((\hat{\omega}_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}, d\omega_n), \dots K_3(\hat{\omega}_1, \omega_2, d\omega_3)).$$

Then

$$f_n^{(1)}(\hat{\omega}_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} f_n^{(2)}(\omega_2) K_2(\hat{\omega}_1, d\omega_2) ;$$

again  $f_n^{(2)}$  is monotonely decreasing against some  $f^{(2)}$ , and by Lebesgue

$$0 < f^{(1)}(\hat{\omega}_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} f^{(2)}(\omega_2) K(\hat{\omega}_1, d\omega_2) .$$

Thus there is  $\hat{\omega}_2$  with  $f^{(2)}(\hat{\omega}_2) > 0$ , i.e.,  $(\hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\omega}_2) \in B_2$ . Iterating this procedure, one finds a sequence  $\hat{\omega}$  with  $(\hat{\omega}_1, \dots, \hat{\omega}_n) \in B_n$  for all  $n$ , i.e.,  $\hat{\omega} \in \bigcap A_n = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Example 6.** The queueing model, see Übung6.3. Here  $K_i(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{i-1}, \cdot)$  only depends on  $\omega_{i-1}$ . Outlook: Markov processes.

Given: a non-empty *arbitrary* index set  $I$  and probability spaces  $(\Omega_i, \mathfrak{A}_i, \mu_i)$  for  $i \in I$ . Recall the definition (7).

**Theorem 5.**

$\exists_1$  probability measure  $P$  on  $\mathfrak{A} \quad \forall S \in \mathfrak{P}_0(I) \quad \forall A_i \in \mathfrak{A}_i, i \in S :$

$$P\left(\prod_{i \in S} A_i \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus S} \Omega_i\right) = \prod_{i \in S} \mu_i(A_i). \quad (11)$$

Notation:  $P = \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i$ .

*Proof.* See Remark 4 in the case of a finite set  $I$ .

If  $|I| = |\mathbb{N}|$ , assume  $I = \mathbb{N}$  without loss of generality. The particular case of Theorem 4 with (9) for probability measures  $\mu_i$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_i$  shows

$\exists_1$  probability measure  $P$  on  $\mathfrak{A} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \dots \forall A_n \in \mathfrak{A}_n :$

$$P\left(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \times \prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i\right) = \mu_1(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \mu_n(A_n).$$

If  $I$  is uncountable, we use Theorem 3.2. For  $S \subset I$  non-empty and countable and for  $B \in \bigotimes_{i \in S} \mathfrak{A}_i$  we put

$$P((\pi_S^I)^{-1}B) = \prod_{i \in S} \mu_i(B).$$

Hereby we get a well-defined mapping  $P : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which clearly is a probability measure and satisfies (11). Use Theorem 4.4 to obtain the uniqueness result.  $\square$

**Definition 4.**  $P = \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i$  is called the *product measure* corresponding to  $\mu_i$  for  $i \in I$ , and  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, P)$  is called the *product measure space* corresponding to  $(\Omega_i, \mathfrak{A}_i, \mu_i)$  for  $i \in I$ .

**Remark 5.** Theorem 5 answers the question that is posed in Example 3.1 in full generality. Moreover, it is the basis for a positive answer to the question from the introductory Example I.2, see Theorem III.5.2.