



October 22, 2008

1st exercise sheet Set Theory  
Winter Term 2008/2009

**(E1.1)**

Prove the following equinumerosities:

- (a)  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} =_c \mathcal{P}\mathbb{N}$ .
- (b)  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} =_c \mathbb{R}$ .
- (c)  $\{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ continuous}\} =_c \mathbb{R}$ .
- (d)  $\{f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ monotone}\} =_c \mathbb{R}$ .

**(E1.2)**

Show that the class of all singletons is proper.

**(E1.3)**

Consider Kuratowski's definition of the pair  $(x, y)$ :

$$(x, y) = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}.$$

Show that

$$(x, y) = (x', y') \Leftrightarrow x = x' \text{ and } y = y'.$$

**(E1.4)**

Show that cardinal numbers  $\kappa, \lambda, \mu$  satisfy the following high school equalities:

- (a)  $\kappa + 0 =_c \kappa, \kappa + (\lambda + \mu) =_c (\kappa + \lambda) + \mu, \kappa + \lambda =_c \lambda + \kappa$ .
- (b)  $\kappa \cdot 0 =_0, \kappa \cdot 1 = \kappa, \kappa \cdot 2 = \kappa + \kappa$ .
- (c)  $\kappa \cdot (\lambda \cdot \mu) =_c (\kappa \cdot \lambda) \cdot \mu, \kappa \cdot \lambda =_c \lambda \cdot \kappa, \kappa \cdot (\lambda + \mu) =_c \kappa \cdot \lambda + \kappa \cdot \mu$ .
- (d)  $\kappa^0 =_c 1, \kappa^1 =_c \kappa, \kappa^2 =_c \kappa \cdot \kappa$ .

$$(e) (\kappa \cdot \lambda)^\mu =_c \kappa^\mu \cdot \lambda^\mu, \kappa^{(\lambda+\mu)} =_c \kappa^\lambda \cdot \kappa^\mu, (\kappa^\lambda)^\mu =_c \kappa^{\lambda \cdot \mu}.$$

Why does the cancellation law

$$\kappa + \mu =_c \lambda + \mu \Rightarrow \kappa =_c \lambda$$

fail?

**(E1.5)**

Show the following implications for all cardinal numbers  $\kappa, \lambda, \mu$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \leq_c \mu &\Rightarrow \kappa + \lambda \leq_c \mu + \lambda \\ \kappa \leq_c \mu &\Rightarrow \kappa \cdot \lambda \leq_c \mu \cdot \lambda \\ \lambda \leq_c \mu &\Rightarrow \kappa^\lambda \leq_c \kappa^\mu \quad (\kappa \neq 0) \\ \kappa \leq_c \lambda &\Rightarrow \kappa^\mu \leq_c \lambda^\mu \end{aligned}$$

For what values of  $\lambda, \mu$  does the third implication fail when  $\kappa = 0$ ?

**(E1.6)**

- (i) Use the Knaster-Tarski Fixed Point Theorem to prove Banach's Decomposition Theorem:

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  be maps. Then there exist disjoint subsets  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of  $X$  and disjoint subsets  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  of  $Y$  such that  $f(X_1) = Y_1$  and  $g(Y_2) = X_2$ ,  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  and  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ .

- (ii) Use (i) to obtain the Schröder-Bernstein Theorem.