

# 11. Exercise sheet Analysis II for MCS Summer Term 2006.

(G11.2) Solution.

First, let us show that  $L(\gamma') \geq L(\gamma)$ . Let  $\Psi: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$  be the change of parameter.

To any partition  $P = (t_0 = a < \dots < t_n = b)$  of  $[a, b]$  we associate a partition

$P' = (c = t'_0 < \dots < t'_n = d)$  of  $[c, d]$  by choosing for each  $0 \leq i \leq n$  an arbitrary point  $t'_i$  in the set  $\Psi^{-1}(t_i)$ . We have

$V_{P'}(\gamma') = V_P(\gamma)$ . Taking the supremum over all partitions of  $[c, d]$ , we obtain

$L(\gamma') \geq V_P(\gamma)$ . Now taking the supremum over all partitions  $P$  of  $[a, b]$ , we obtain  $L(\gamma') \geq L(\gamma)$ .

For the converse inequality, let us consider a partition  $P = (c = t_0 < \dots < t_n = d)$  of  $[c, d]$ . Its image  $\Psi(\{t_0, \dots, t_n\})$  gives rise

to a partition  $P'$  of  $[a, b]$  satisfying  $V_P(\gamma') = V_{P'}(\gamma)$ , since  $\Psi$  is monotone.

(Here  $P' = (a = \Psi(t_0) < \dots < \Psi(t_n) = b)$  or

$P' = (a = \Psi(t_n) < \dots < \Psi(t_0) = b)$ ,

with possibly fewer than  $n+1$  elements,

since we might have  $\Psi(t_i) = \Psi(t_j)$

for  $i \neq j$ .) Taking the supremum over all partitions of  $[a, b]$  we obtain  $V_P(\gamma') \leq L(\gamma)$ .

Hence by taking the supremum over all partitions  $[c, d]$ , we get that  $L(\gamma') \leq L(\gamma)$ .

Thus we have  $L(\gamma') = L(\gamma)$ .

□

(G 11.3) Solution.

We remark that if  $P, P'$  are partitions of  $[a, b]$  with  $P'$  a refinement of  $P$ , then the triangle inequality yields by induction that  $V_P(\gamma) \leq V_{P'}(\gamma)$ . We assume that

$a < c < b$ , for else the proof is trivial.

Let  $P_1 = (a = t_0 < \dots < t_n = c)$  be a partition of  $[a, c]$  and let  $P_2 = (c = t'_0 < \dots < t'_m = b)$

2.

be a partition of  $[c, b]$ . Write  $t_{i+n} := t_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m$ . Then  $P_2 = (c = t_n < \dots < t_{n+m} = b)$ , and we have that  $P = (a = t_0 < \dots < t_n < \dots < t_{n+m} = b)$  is a partition of  $[a, b]$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{P_1}(\gamma|_{[a, c]}) + V_{P_2}(\gamma|_{[c, b]}) = \\ & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) + \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} d(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^{n+m-1} d(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) \\ & = V_P(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $\sup\{V_P(\gamma|_{[a, c]}) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, c]\}$  or  $\sup\{V_P(\gamma|_{[c, b]}) : P \text{ is a partition of } [c, b]\}$  does not exist, then  $\sup\{V_P(\gamma) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}$  does not exist. That is, if  $L(\gamma|_{[a, c]}) = \infty$  or  $L(\gamma|_{[c, b]}) = \infty$ , then  $L(\gamma) = \infty$ .

If  $L(\gamma) < \infty$ , then we get  $V_{P_1}(\gamma|_{[a, c]}) + V_{P_2}(\gamma|_{[c, b]}) \leq L(\gamma)$ .

So  $L(\gamma|_{[a, c]}) + L(\gamma|_{[c, b]}) \leq L(\gamma)$ .

Let now  $P = (a = t_0 < \dots < t_k = b)$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$ . We assume that  $t_m = c$

for some  $m \leq k$ , for else we consider a refinement of  $P$  where this holds. So

$$P = (a = t_0 < \dots < t_m = c < \dots < t_{m+n} = b)$$

for some  $n > 0$ . Let now

$P_1 = (a = t'_0 < \dots < t'_m = c)$  be a partition of  $[a, c]$  with  $t'_i := t_i$  for  $i \leq m$ , and let

$P_2 = (c = t''_0 < \dots < t''_n = b)$  be a partition of  $[c, b]$  with  $t''_i := t_{m+i}$  for  $i \leq n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_P(\gamma) &= \sum_{i=0}^{m+n-1} d(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\gamma(t_{m+i}), \gamma(t_{m+i+1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} d(\gamma(t'_i), \gamma(t'_{i+1})) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(\gamma(t''_i), \gamma(t''_{i+1})) \\ &= V_{P_1}(\gamma|_{[a, c]}) + V_{P_2}(\gamma|_{[c, b]}). \end{aligned}$$

So if  $\sup\{V_P(\gamma) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}$  does not exist, then either

$\sup\{V_P(\gamma|_{[a, c]}) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, c]\}$

or

$\sup\{V_P(\gamma|_{[c, b]}) : P \text{ is a partition of } [c, b]\}$

does not exist. That is, if  $L(\delta) = \infty$ ,  
then  $L(\delta|_{[a,c]}) = \infty$  or  $L(\delta|_{[c,b]}) = \infty$ .

If  $L(\delta|_{[a,c]}) < \infty$  and  $L(\delta|_{[c,b]}) < \infty$ ,

then  $V_p(\delta) \leq L(\delta|_{[a,c]}) + L(\delta|_{[c,b]})$ . And so

$$L(\delta) \leq L(\delta|_{[a,c]}) + L(\delta|_{[c,b]}).$$

Thus  $L(\delta) = L(\delta|_{[a,c]}) + L(\delta|_{[c,b]})$ .

(Where we interpret this to mean ordinary equality between real numbers if

$L(\delta)$ ,  $L(\delta|_{[a,c]})$  and  $L(\delta|_{[c,b]})$  are real numbers, and in addition

$$L(\delta) = \infty \iff (L(\delta|_{[a,c]}) = \infty \vee L(\delta|_{[c,b]}) = \infty).$$

$L(\delta) = \infty$  means that

$\sup \{ V_p(\delta) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a,b] \}$   
does not exist.  $L(\delta) < \infty$  means that this  
supremum exists and is a real number.)

□