

Gaifman's theorem

$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \in \text{FO}(\sigma)$ is an ℓ -local formula if

$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \varphi^\ell(\mathbf{x}) := [\varphi(\mathbf{x})]^{N^\ell(\mathbf{x})}$ (relativisation to $N^\ell(\mathbf{x})$),

i.e., for all \mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{a} : $\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{a} \models \varphi$ iff $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright N^\ell(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{a} \models \varphi$

a basic ℓ -local sentence is an FO-sentence of the form

$$\varphi = \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_m (\bigwedge_{i < j} d(x_i, x_j) > 2\ell \wedge \bigwedge_i \psi^\ell(x_i))$$

for some ℓ -local formula $\psi^\ell(x) \in \text{FO}_1(\sigma)$

NB: the following is a theorem of classical model theory

Gaifman's theorem

for any relational signature σ , every $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \in \text{FO}(\sigma)$ is logically equivalent to a boolean combination of local formulae and basic local sentences

for a b&f proof of Gaifman's theorem:

the rank of the basic local sentence

$\varphi = \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_m (\bigwedge_{i < j} d(x_i, x_j) > 2\ell \wedge \bigwedge_i \psi^\ell(x_i))$ is $(\ell, \text{qr}(\psi), m)$

definition

\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{a} and \mathfrak{B}, \mathbf{b} are (ℓ, q, m) -Gaifman-equivalent, $\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{a} \equiv_{q,m}^\ell \mathfrak{B}, \mathbf{b}$, if

- \mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{a} and \mathfrak{B}, \mathbf{b} satisfy the same ℓ -local formulae of quantifier rank up to q ;
- \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} satisfy the same basic local sentences in ranks (ℓ', q', m') for $\ell \leq \ell', q' \leq q, m' \leq m$

lemma

if \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are $(L, Q, m+n)$ -Gaifman-equivalent for sufficiently large L, Q , $\mathbf{a}_0 \in A^n, \mathbf{b}_0 \in B^n$, then $(I_k)_{k \leq m}: \mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{a}_0 \simeq_m \mathfrak{B}, \mathbf{b}_0$

where, for suitable (ℓ_k, q_k) , I_k consists of all partial isomorphisms $p = \mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{b}$, $|p| \leq m+n-k$, s.t. $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright N^{\ell_k}(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{a} \equiv_{q_k} \mathfrak{B} \upharpoonright N^{\ell_k}(\mathbf{b}), \mathbf{b}$

FMT expressive completeness results: examples

- modal logic $ML(\subseteq FO)$ is expressively complete for first-order properties (of elts) invariant under bisimulation equivalence:
 $FO/\sim \equiv ML$ classically & FMT & a new proof
- $\exists\text{-FO} \subseteq FO$ is expressively complete for first-order properties of finite unions of finite successor chains that are preserved under extensions: a restricted FMT version of Łos–Tarski
- $\exists\text{-FO}_{\text{pos}} \subseteq FO$ is expressively complete for first-order properties that are preserved under homomorphisms within wide classes of finite structures closed under disjoint union & substructures: a restricted FMT version of Lyndon–Tarski–Rossman

FO expressive completeness: classical vs. FMT

- (i) $\varphi \in FO$ preserved under $\mathfrak{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{B}$:
for all relevant $\mathfrak{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{B}$, $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi \Rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \models \varphi$
- (ii) $\varphi \equiv \varphi' \in \mathcal{L} \subseteq FO$ over all relevant structures
- (ii)' $\varphi \in FO$ preserved under \mathcal{L} -transfer $\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}}$
over all relevant structures
- (ii)'' $\varphi \in FO$ preserved under some approximation $\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}}^{\ell}$
based on finite index equivalence $\equiv_{\mathcal{L}}^{\ell}$ for $\ell = \ell(\varphi)$
over all relevant structures

preservation: (ii) \Rightarrow (i) / **expressive completeness:** (i) \Rightarrow (ii)
only for preservation, the classical version implies FMT version

classically can use (ii)' \Rightarrow (ii) by compactness (!)

non-classical arguments rather rely on (ii)'' \Rightarrow (ii)